

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 ----- X
4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : 13-CR-607 (JFB)
5 -against- : U.S. Courthouse
6 PHILLIP A. KENNER : Central Islip, New York
7 a/k/a "Philip A. Kenner",
8 and : Forfeiture Hearing
9 TOMMY C. CONSTANTINE :
10 a/k/a "Tommy C. Hormovitis"
11 Defendants :
12 March 9, 2016
13 ----- X 10:30 a.m.

14 BEFORE:
15 HONORABLE JOSEPH F. BIANCO
16 United States District Judge

17 APPEARANCES:

18 For the Government: LORETTA E. LYNCH
19 United States Attorney
20 Federal Plaza
21 Central Islip, New York 11722
22 BY: DIANE C. LEONARDO
23 MADELINE M. O'CONNOR
24 LAURA MANTELL
25 SARITHA KOMATIREDDY
 Assistant U.S. Attorneys

26 For the Defendant: HALEY, WEINBLATT & CALCAGNI LLP
27 Phillip A. Kenner One Suffolk Square
28 1601 Veterans Memorial Highway
29 Suite 425
30 Islandia, New York 11749
31 BY: RICHARD HALEY

1 For the Defendant: LaRUSSO & CONWAY LLP
2 Tommy C. Constantine 300 Old Country Road
3 Suite 341
4 Mineola, New York 11501
5 BY: JOSEPH CONWAY
and
6 ANDREW L. OLIVERAS
26 Strangford Court
7 Oceanside, New York 11572

8
9 Court Reporter: RONALD E. TOLKIN, RPR, RMR, CRR
10 100 Federal Plaza
Central Islip, New York 11722
631-712-6105
ronald_tolkin@nyed.uscourts.gov

11 * * *

12 THE CLERK: All rise.

13 THE COURT: Please be seated.

14 THE CLERK: Calling case 13-CR-607, U.S.A. versus
Kenner and Constantine.

15 Counsel, please state your appearance for the
16 record.

17 MS. LEONARDO: For the United States, Diane
18 Leonardo, Madeline O'Connor, and Laura Mantell.

19 Good morning, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Morning.

21 MR. CONWAY: Good morning, Judge.

22 Joseph Conway for Thomas Constantine.

23 MR. OLIVERAS: Joseph Oliveras for Thomas
24 Constantine.

25 THE COURT: Morning.

1 MR. OLIVERAS: Good morning, Your Honor.

2 MR. HALEY: Richard Haley for the defendant Phil
3 Kenner. Mr. Kenner is to my left, Judge.

4 THE COURT: Good morning to you both.

5 Both defendants are present.

6 As you know, this is the continuation of the
7 forfeiture proceeding. Since we met the last time I did
8 receive the government's letter addressing the issues that I
9 had asked them to address.

10 Mr. Haley, I did receive your letter in response.
11 My intention with respect to that, I think consistent with
12 what we did at the start of the hearing is, I am reserving on
13 those legal issues as well as the issues regarding the
14 objections to hearsay.

15 I am going to reserve on those but, obviously, you
16 can continue to lodge those exhibits or objection to evidence
17 or testimony the government offers. But I want the government
18 to have an opportunity to present all the evidence they think
19 is admissible with respect to any property that they think
20 should be subject to forfeiture.

21 And then I will decide those issues, obviously, once
22 the hearing is done. So that is the way we are going to
23 proceed.

24 I just want to get a sense for the timing today.
25 The government was in the middle of a witness, right?

1 MS. LEONARDO: Yes, Your Honor.

2 I have probably about another half-hour, 45 minutes
3 on direct, and then we will rest.

4 THE COURT: What does the defense want to do then in
5 terms of the defense case? Have you decided what you are
6 going to do yet or are you still thinking about it?

7 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, if I may, the government I
8 am told will conclude its case this morning.

9 THE COURT: Yes.

10 MR. HALEY: We only have the testimony of Agent
11 Joshua Wayne. I will be cross examining Agent Wayne. My
12 client, as of this moment, still has the intent to testify at
13 the forfeiture hearing. We are not prepared to proceed today.
14 We spoke with your clerk about another date. If the
15 government rests, as they state they will, at that date we
16 will commence the defense case in this matter.

17 Judge, there has been -- so the Court is aware, we
18 have encountered some difficulty. I think we are going to be
19 able to overcome that difficulty with the MDC and my client's
20 access to the computer. It has been more restricted than we
21 would like but I will deal with that. I have been able to
22 deal with it in the past. I am not asking for the Court's
23 intercession. I am hopeful that by the 4th we will have
24 sufficient time to proceed with my client's case. Thank you.

25 THE COURT: Great.

1 So we will continue with Agent Wayne's testimony.

2 You can take the stand, Agent Wayne.

3 Let's swear him in again.

4 (Witness sworn.)

5 THE WITNESS: I do.

6 J O S H U A W A Y N E,

7 called as a witness, having been duly

8 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

9 THE CLERK: Please state your name and spell it for
10 the record.

11 THE WITNESS: Joshua Wayne.

12 J-O-S-H-U-A, W-A-Y-N-E.

13 MS. LEONARDO: I need the machine on.

14 THE CLERK: Yes.

15 MR. HALEY: Judge, do you usually display the
16 exhibits on the large screen?

17 MS. LEONARDO: I am planning to do that.

18 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, there is not a monitor on
19 our desk to simultaneously review the exhibits.

20 THE COURT: Do you have paper copies of them or not?

21 MR. HALEY: I don't.

22 MS. LEONARDO: Yes, I do.

23 MR HALEY: The exhibits are to be introduced into
24 evidence?

25 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I have some exhibits from

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

6

1 the last time. I will be referring to them as what has been
2 introduced into evidence. I have additional copies to present
3 to counsel as we move along.

4 MR. HALEY: We will do fine with that, Judge.

5 Thank you.

6 THE COURT: If you want to move your chair you can.

7 MR. HALEY: Thank you.

8 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I did provide your clerk
9 with a list of the additional documents.

10 THE COURT: Thanks.

11 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 BY MS. LEONARDO:

13 Q Good morning, Agent Wayne.

14 A Good morning.

15 Q Agent Wayne, I want to return your attention to what was
16 marked at the last time as an exhibit, Forfeiture Exhibit 36.

17 A Yes.

18 Q Agent Wayne, and this exhibit -- the court reserved
19 decision on this exhibit the last time we were here. Since
20 the last time did you look at additional exhibits in evidence
21 to verify any of the entries on this exhibit?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Specifically, what did you use to verify them?

24 A Bank records.

25 Q Agent Wayne, specifically with regard to the second entry

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

7

1 on that ledger, what records did you look at to verify the
2 accuracy of the entries?

3 A I looked at the Little Isle line of credit history and
4 the Little Isle bank records.

5 Q When you say line of credit history, it was Government
6 Exhibit 2133, correct?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And Little Isle bank accounts, it was Exhibit 2101?

9 A Yes.

10 Q You referred to pages 170 to 172 for the Little Isle
11 account?

12 A Yes.

13 Q With regard to the next entry on that ledger, what did
14 you need to review to verify that amount?

15 A Bank records from Little Isle and Ula Makika.

16 Q Specifically, what did you do?

17 A Money that came in from Jozef Stumpel to Ula Makika, then
18 off to the seller of the property, Atilio. Then money from
19 Ula Makika to Little Isle, and then back to the seller of the
20 property.

21 Q In other words, Exhibit Number 2102 is the Ula Makika
22 account, and those were at pages 526 to page 528, correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And again the Little Isle account, which was 2101, pages
25 170 to 171, right?

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

8

1 A Yes.

2 Q Agent, I would ask you to refer to Exhibit 58, Forfeiture
3 Exhibit 58.

4 MR. HALEY: 58?

5 MS. LEONARDO: This is a new exhibit I am giving to
6 counsel.

7 Q Agent Wayne, looking at Exhibit 58, do you recognize that
8 and what do you recognize that to be?

9 A The Charles Schwab wires.

10 Q Looking at those three pages?

11 A Three pages, yes.

12 Q Looking at those, where did the government obtain those
13 documents?

14 A Phillip Kenner's home.

15 Q How do you know that?

16 A From the search warrant records.

17 Q Those are the documents labeled PK Home?

18 A Yes.

19 Q It is your understanding those are the documents that
20 were retrieved from Mr. Kenner's home?

21 A Right.

22 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, we offer those documents
23 in evidence.

24 THE COURT: What number is that again?

25 MS. LEONARDO: 58.

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

9

1 THE COURT: Any objection?

2 MR. HALEY: No, sir.

3 MR. CONWAY: No, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Exhibit 58 is admitted.

5 (So marked as Forfeiture Exhibit 58 in evidence.)

6 Q Agent Wayne, also looking at Forfeiture Exhibit 36, which
7 is still on the screen, are those numbers also reflected in 58
8 and 36?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Agent Wayne, I would ask you to look at the new exhibits,
11 60, 61, and 62.

12 A Yes.

13 Q Do you recognize those?

14 A Yes.

15 Q What do you recognize each of those documents to be?

16 A E-mails from Phillip Kenner to Ken Jowdy regarding
17 payments to Atilio for deposits and other money going down to
18 Baja. And he wanted the letter from Phil Kenner to Atilio
19 stating that money was going down for the property.

20 Q With regard to exhibits, Forfeiture Exhibit 60, 61, and
21 62, where did the government obtain those documents?

22 A The SEC.

23 Q When you say "the SEC," what do you mean?

24 A The SEC provided documents to us that were originally
25 provided by Phillip Kenner.

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

10

1 Q In fact, each of those documents have been marked PK-SEC
2 with a series of Bates numbers?

3 A Yes.

4 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I will offer those in
5 evidence.

6 MR. HALEY: Judge, may I have a moment?

7 THE COURT: Yes.

8 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, as relates to the exhibits
9 61 and 62, consist of a two-page document each.

10 THE WITNESS: Yes.

11 MR. HALEY: As relates to the first page of that
12 document, Judge, we have no objection as to the admissibility
13 of the first page of the document.

14 As relates to the unfocused purpose of 61 and 62, as
15 relates to what is then stapled as the second page to the
16 document -- Judge, may I have a moment?

17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 (Whereupon Mr. Haley confers with Mr. Kenner.)

19 The second page looks like a Spanish version that
20 was signed by Mr. Kenner.

21 MR. HALEY: Judge, the issue becomes the signature
22 on the document itself. Your Honor, if I may. We know that
23 the government by virtue of Agent Wayne's testimony thus far
24 has had and continues to have, as best we know, communications
25 with the attorney for Kenneth Jowdy. The testimony is they

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

11

1 received documents from Mr. Tom Harvey, who is the attorney
2 for Ken Jowdy.

3 We request, Judge, in view of that level of
4 cooperation, and cooperation between the government and the
5 attorney for Ken Jowdy, that inquiry be made of the attorney
6 for Ken Jowdy as to whether or not the original of Page 2 of
7 both documents exists so that we might take a look at the
8 original as relates to the signature on the document.

9 That would be our request, Judge. If it is
10 available it may resolve any objection we may have. If it is
11 not available we can address the issue at that point. As the
12 Court can see, this document is barely legible. And that
13 would be our request.

14 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, if I may. These are
15 documents that were produced by Mr. Kenner in response to an
16 SEC motion to compel him to comply to the subpoena. The
17 documents were marked by Mr. Kenner's counsel at the time of
18 the SEC, numbered 1 through approximately 26,000. Mr. Kenner
19 testified to that at the trial, that he in fact produced
20 26,000 documents to the SEC.

21 THE COURT: I don't need to hear more. These
22 documents are admissible based upon the testimony that was
23 provided. In terms of the authenticity of the signature
24 obviously if you want to test that, Mr. Haley, you can. I
25 don't think there is any reason to question that these

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

12

1 documents were produced by Mr. Kenner to the SEC based on the
2 record that we have.

3 MR. HALEY: If I may, Judge. There is a dispute as
4 to whether or not those documents were produced by Mr. Kenner
5 during the course of the SEC proceeding or whether they were
6 produced by Mr. Jowdy during the course of the SEC
7 investigation.

8 The government is making that representation that
9 they were Kenner produced documents. Mr. Jowdy was part of
10 that SEC investigation by way of being a witness. The
11 information I received is that they were actually documents
12 produced by Mr. Jowdy.

13 Judge, you are correct, I believe, as relates to my
14 client's ability to raise as an issue as to the authenticity
15 of the documents. In view of the fact that he is going
16 testify I don't want to make an issue that is unnecessary. We
17 will address it at that point in time. But I don't want the
18 record to be of the view that what the government's
19 representation is about who produced it is indeed something we
20 are going to concede.

21 THE COURT: So 60 through 62 are admitted. I would
22 ask, and I don't think that it is unreasonable that they want
23 to see if Mr. Jowdy's attorney has the originals of these
24 documents, that they be produced to the government. Can you
25 make that request to his lawyer?

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

13

1 MS. LEONARDO: We can do that, Your Honor.

2 MR. HALEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 (So marked as Forfeiture Exhibit 60, 61, and 62 in
4 evidence.)

5 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MS. LEONARDO:

7 Q So, Agent Wayne, can you describe what Exhibit 60 shows?

8 A It shows a letter from Phillip Kenner discussing the
9 money going down to Atilio.

10 Q Exhibit 60 is an e-mail, isn't that right?

11 A I'm sorry. That is Exhibit 61.

12 60 is an e-mail from Kenner to Jowdy discussing the
13 deposits for Atilio.

14 Q Agent Wayne, I want to direct your attention to
15 Forfeiture Exhibit 44.

16 A Yes.

17 Q Agent Wayne, looking at Exhibit 44, what is that?

18 A It is money going down to one of the players for Little
19 Isle and another company that Jowdy controlled.

20 Q Is this a letter that you received through Mr. Jowdy's
21 attorney?

22 A Yes.

23 Q The information that was contained on that ledger, would
24 you verify the information with numbers and the deposits that
25 are listed in the e-mail in Forfeiture Exhibit 60?

WAYNE-VOIR DIRE-HALEY

14

1 A Yes.

2 Q Were they correct?

3 A Yes.

4 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, we offer Exhibit 44.

5 MR. HALEY: May I conduct a brief voir dire, Judge?

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. HALEY:

9 Q Agent Wayne, Government F0RF-44 contains a sixth column
10 that says Notes.

11 Do you see that?

12 A Yes.

13 Q The records that you reviewed to substantiate the
14 accuracy of those documents, do those records reflect, make
15 any reference to 1 percent, 5 percent, or anything of that
16 nature?

17 A I would ask which records you are referring to?

18 Q Well, you indicated a moment ago that the information
19 contained on this chart --

20 A Yes.

21 Q -- is consistent with the bank records you reviewed. Is
22 that true?

23 A Yes.

24 Q As it relates to those bank records, in reviewing those
25 bank records, do those bank records review -- reflect the bank

WAYNE-VOIR DIRE-HALEY

15

1 records --

2 A Bank records do not reflect the percentage.

3 Q Well, from where do those percentages drop?

4 A From this record here. From Jowdy's records directly.

5 Q When you say "Jowdy produced," we are talking about
6 records produced by his attorney Tom Harvey to you, correct?

7 A To the government, yes.

8 Q With reference to your testimony concerning the accuracy
9 of this particular chart, I believe you testified that it is
10 accurate because you reviewed the bank records.

11 Is that true?

12 A Yes. Some bank records and some -- and the letter that
13 was -- we spoke about before.

14 Q Which letter are we talking about?

15 A The letter from Phillip Kenner to Atilio discussing the
16 amount.

17 Q My question is simply this, sir, with reference to the
18 columns that are indicated, Date, From, To, Amount, and Pers.
19 Do you see those columns?

20 A Yes.

21 Q The information contained in those columns, according to
22 your testimony, you were able to verify the accuracy of that
23 information by examining the bank records.

24 Is that true?

25 A Not all of them. But, yes, some of them.

WAYNE-VOIR DIRE-HALEY

16

1 Q But those bank records do not reflect anything that
2 relates to column 4, Notes, 1 percent, 1 percent, 5 percent,
3 do they?

4 A They do not.

5 MR. HALEY: I object to the admissibility of that
6 document, Judge, as relates to that column that reflects
7 notes.

8 THE COURT: I am not even sure the agent testified
9 to that.

10 Do you know what that column represents? When it
11 represents percentages, do you know what that represents or
12 not? They are percentages of what?

13 THE WITNESS: I believe it is the ownership in
14 certain, you know, properties.

15 THE COURT: Is the government introducing this
16 document for the purposes of the notes column or which
17 columns?

18 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, with regard to the
19 investor deposits which were verified in the defendant's
20 e-mail to Mr. Jowdy regarding deposits, what are Exhibit 60,
21 61, and 62.

22 THE COURT: You are only concerned about the dollar
23 amounts and where and when?

24 MS. LEONARDO: That is correct.

25 THE COURT: I am not going to consider the notes

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

17

1 column now.

2 MR. HALEY: Thank you.

3 THE COURT: 44 is admitted, striking the notes
4 column.

5 (So marked as Forfeiture Exhibit 44 in evidence.)

6 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY MS. LEONARDO:

8 Q Agent Wayne, I am going to ask you to look at Forfeiture
9 Exhibit --

10 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't ask you,
11 Mr. Conway. Do you have any objection to that?

12 MR. CONWAY: Based on your ruling, Your Honor, I
13 don't.

14 THE COURT: Go ahead.

15 Q I will ask you to look at Exhibits 54 and 57.

16 A Yes.

17 Q Agent Wayne, looking at those exhibits, do you recognize
18 those?

19 A Yes.

20 Q What do you recognize it to be?

21 A These are e-mails. 54 is an e-mail between Kenner and
22 Jowdy discussing money coming down to Kenner and then some --
23 there is money coming back up to Kenner. Money coming down to
24 Jowdy, some money going back up to Kenner.

25 And 57 is a record from the SEC about Mike Peca's

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

18

1 money going down to Schwab wires, the money going down to
2 Baja. This is a Jowdy run company, and the money returning.

3 Q Looking at Exhibit 54, which is an e-mail exchange, where
4 did the government receive that document?

5 A From Jowdy's attorney.

6 Q With regard to Forfeiture Exhibit 57, where did the
7 government receive that document?

8 A The SEC.

9 Q Again, that was Mr. Kenner's production to the SEC?

10 A Mr. Kenner's production, yes.

11 Q In addition to looking at those two documents, did you
12 also look at the bank records for Ula Makika to verify that
13 deposits were coming from Baja Development to Ula Makika?

14 A Yes.

15 Q That was Exhibit Ula Makika 2110?

16 A Yes.

17 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, we would offer exhibits
18 54 and 57.

19 MR. HALEY: I have no objection to FORF-57. However
20 I must again, Judge, repeat what is at least a standing
21 objection as relates to what I believe the government's
22 derogation under Rule 16 in this proceeding to provide
23 documentary evidence pursuant to the rule in advance of the
24 trial.

25 FORF-54 is not a document that was provided to us by

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

19

1 way of Rule 16 material preceding the commencement of the
2 trial. What we are learning during the course of this
3 particular hearing, the testimony of this witness thus far,
4 there apparently were communications between the government
5 and Mr. Jowdy's attorney prior to the commencement of the
6 forfeiture hearing, but apparently renewed communications
7 subsequent to the trial wherein he began to provide documents
8 of this nature, specifically F0RF-54, to the government.

9 I would object to the admissibility of this document
10 for failure to abide by this Court's repeated orders that the
11 government provide all Rule 16 material to the defense in the
12 advance of trial. Your Honor may have some memory that there
13 were a few instances where the government needed additional
14 time, and one specific -- actually, two specific instances
15 where the Court asked the government when will Rule 16 be
16 completed, and a representation was made to the Court that
17 Rule 16 would be completed by a specific date.

18 So I object to the admissibility of this particular
19 document as not having been previously provided to the defense
20 by virtue of our -- or the government's Rule 16 obligations.

21 Thank you.

22 MR. CONWAY: I join in Mr. Haley's objection.

23 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, if I may, I can just
24 clear this up right now. There is a Bates stamp at the bottom
25 of 19617. It was four CD of documents that were turned over

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

20

1 to the defense attorneys prior to the beginning of the trial,
2 and those are our Bates stamp numbers showing that this was
3 turned over in advance of the trial.

4 THE COURT: So these weren't produced for the first
5 time between the end of the trial and the forfeiture hearing,
6 they were provided prior to trial?

7 MS. LEONARDO: That is correct, Your Honor.

8 MR. HALEY: Judge, if I may?

9 Was this document produced as part of the December
10 15, 2015 Rule 16 disclosure which occurred subsequent to the
11 trial?

12 MS. LEONARDO: It was produced during the course of
13 the -- prior to the trial.

14 THE COURT: Did you agree to reproduce this?

15 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I don't know if I
16 reproduced it. But in producing documents, I only produced
17 additional documents, not the 2 million pages that were turned
18 over prior to trial.

19 THE COURT: But you may have reproduced this
20 recently because you were going to rely on it? Is that
21 possible? I am confused as to why it wasn't provided to the
22 defendants.

23 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I do not recall. I sent
24 documents to counsel in October and December. I don't recall
25 if this was an additional document that I put in there. But

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

21

1 it clearly was produced prior to the beginning of the trial.

2 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, if I may, as the Court is
3 aware approximately 2 million documents were produced by way
4 of Rule 16. We did receive in October or December additional
5 documents provided by the government that contained many, many
6 additional documents in terms of bank records and e-mails and
7 things of that nature, as I recall.

8 Frankly, Judge, I am not going to represent as an
9 officer of the court that I looked at each and every one of
10 those documents. I did not. I did a cursory gross
11 examination of the documents. I guess the government's
12 representation is that this specific document because they are
13 the ones providing the documents, Judge, presumably they and
14 their agents have a better understanding of what was provided
15 before trial and what was provided after trial.

16 The government's representation that these
17 particular documents were provided, as reflected in FORF-54,
18 in advance of the trial, during the course of this proceeding,
19 I will accept their representation and withdraw my objection.

20 THE COURT: You can, obviously, double check that.

21 MR. HALEY: I will.

22 MR. CONWAY: Judge, I will just say for the record,
23 I have never seen this document before. The Bates stamps on
24 the bottom, it is the first time I have seen the Bates stamps
25 in that fashion. As you can see from the other documents

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

22

1 today, the way they Bates stamped documents throughout the
2 trial and this hearing are far different from this. I am not
3 sure where this document comes from. But for today's purposes
4 I guess we will let it go and see where we go with it.

5 THE COURT: Based upon the government's
6 representation, I am going to admit it into evidence.
7 Obviously, you can go back and check and have a conversation
8 with the government why the Bates stamping is different. But
9 unless you are able to show me this was not provided prior to
10 the trial I will allow it into evidence.

11 Go ahead. And that is 54 and 57?

12 MS. LEONARDO: Okay.

13 THE COURT: There is no objection to 57?

14 MR. CONWAY: No.

15 MR. HALEY: No objection.

16 THE COURT: 54 and 57 are admitted.

17 (So marked as Forfeiture Exhibit 54 and 57 in
18 evidence.)

19 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MS. LEONARDO:

21 Q Agent Wayne, I would like to draw your attention to what
22 we have labeled as Chart 27, which I believe we discussed at
23 the last hearing.

24 A Yes.

25 Q In looking at Chart 27, can you describe for the Court

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

23

1 how you made this chart?

2 A I reviewed bank records, I reviewed Forfeiture 54 and
3 Forfeiture 57 and Forfeiture 44, and also bank records that
4 are already in evidence at the criminal trial, that showed
5 money from players going to Baja Development and going either
6 that day or the day after, soon after going to Ula Makika.
7 And then in some instances, going then to CMD.

8 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I would offer Chart 27
9 into evidence.

10 MR. HALEY: I object. As I understand this chart
11 the government now during the course of a forfeiture hearing
12 purports to allege additional fraudulent conduct not set forth
13 in the allegations of the indictment that served for the
14 prosecution of Mr. Kenner in the trial of this matter by way
15 of this particular chart; CSL Properties' Capital
16 Contributions by Virtue of a Kenner Controlled Account.

17 CSL Properties was not, as I recall, was part and
18 parcel of the alleged fraudulent schemes set forth in the
19 indictment. Your Honor's well aware of what the alleged
20 fraudulent schemes involved, the last allegations being Hawaii
21 Land Development, Eufora, Global Settlement Fund, and Led
22 Better.

23 If the issue before this Court for its determination
24 in a forfeiture hearing is a nexus between the property the
25 government looks to seize and the offense committed, and the

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

24

1 offense committed was not alleged nor even considered by the
2 trier of fact upon the trial of the matter, to introduce in a
3 forfeiture hearing what at least I perceive to be some sort of
4 allegation within the context of this document that there was
5 some fraudulent activity when hockey player clients made
6 contributions through CSL Properties and Baja Development, I
7 would respectfully suggest to the Court is not part and parcel
8 of a forfeiture hearing based upon what the law permits and
9 the issue to be decided by the Court in the course of such a
10 hearing.

11 THE COURT: I understand. You obviously have that
12 standing objection to certain items that were seized in the
13 forfeiture but I will reserve on that. Your objection is
14 noted.

15 I assume, Mr. Conway --

16 MR. CONWAY: I am joining in that objection, Your
17 Honor.

18 THE COURT: So I am reserving on that issue but,
19 otherwise, you said 27.

20 MS. LEONARDO: I am sorry. Chart 27, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Chart 27 is admitted as a summary chart.
22 (So marked as Chart 27 in evidence.)

23 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MS. LEONARDO:

25 Q Agent Wayne, I want to direct your attention to Exhibit

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

25

1 52.

2 A Yes.

3 Q Looking at what is marked as Forfeiture Exhibit 52, is
4 that the same e-mail as Forfeiture Exhibit 49 which the Court
5 reserved decision on the last time?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Exhibit 52, can you describe what that is?

8 A It is an e-mail from Bob Gaudet, Bryan Berard stating the
9 investors and the amounts that they put in.

10 Q Where did the government get that?

11 A From Bob Gaudet.

12 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I offer 52 just to
13 replace 49. There was an objection the last time with regard
14 to how it got to the government.

15 THE COURT: This came directly from Bob Gaudet?

16 THE WITNESS: Yes.

17 THE COURT: Is there still an objection?

18 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, only insofar as the hearsay
19 nature of the document. I know hearsay is admissible for
20 purposes of a forfeiture hearing. I have every reason to
21 believe the judge will give the defense the same latitude by
22 way of hearsay admissions in the course of the hearing. But
23 this is not -- this agent cannot authenticate this document.
24 He is not Bob Gaudet. He is not a recipient of the document.
25 He is simply saying this is something we received in the

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

26

1 course of our investigation and we now offer it as an exhibit
2 because we received it during our investigation.

3 MR. CONWAY: Your Honor, in addition to that, I
4 would ask that this be part of the standing objection we have
5 because this is dealing with the Providence property which
6 again was not part of the trial.

7 THE COURT: Again, I am reserving on the hearsay
8 objections and, obviously, on that objection as well in terms
9 of what property it relates to. I just want to make clear,
10 just for the record, on cross-examination you should cross the
11 agent on any issue or exhibit I reserved on. You should
12 assume it is going to come in and do all your cross because
13 you are not going to get another opportunity to do that.

14 So I am reserving on this exhibit as well, 52.

15 (So marked as Forfeiture Exhibit 52 in evidence.)

16 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MS. LEONARDO:

18 Q Agent Wayne, as a follow-up as to what we discussed the
19 last time as to the Sugar Mill property, I would ask you to
20 look at Forfeiture Exhibit 56.

21 A Yes.

22 Q Do you recognize that?

23 A Yes. It is the title records for the Sugar Mill
24 property.

25 Q Where did the government receive that?

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

27

1 A From the title company.

2 MS. LEONARDO: I offer Forfeiture Exhibit 56.

3 MR. HALEY: Objection. As otherwise stated we have
4 no objection.

5 MR. CONWAY: The same, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: So 56 is admitted subject to reserving
7 on the issue of the property that it relates to.

8 (So marked as Forfeiture Exhibit 56 in evidence.)

9 Q Agent Wayne, with regards to Exhibit 56 you also verified
10 other represented evidence, namely Government Exhibit 2015,
11 the Little Isle IV trust statement to verify that Little Isle
12 made a wire transfer for the Sugar Mill property?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Agent, I want to direct your attention to Forfeiture
15 Exhibit 13.

16 A Yes.

17 Q Agent, looking at Exhibit 13, Forfeiture Exhibit 13, do
18 you recognize that?

19 A Yes.

20 Q What is that?

21 A It is an assignment of a condo unit to JMT.

22 Q Where did the government obtain this document?

23 A The Palms Casino.

24 Q As far as your understanding, it is the consignment of a
25 Palms unit to JMT, correct?

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

28

1 A Right.

2 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I will offer it into
3 evidence as Exhibit 13.

4 MR. HALEY: Subject to the standing objection, no
5 objection.

6 THE COURT: Admitted subject to the standing
7 objection.

8 (So marked as Forfeiture Exhibit 13 in evidence.)

9 Q Agent, I would like to direct your attention to
10 Forfeiture Exhibit 55.

11 Do you recognize what that is?

12 A Yes.

13 Q What do you recognize it to be?

14 A It is an e-mail from JMT to Phil Kenner.

15 Q Do you know where the government received that document?

16 A From Jowdy's attorney.

17 Q After the government received this document, did you have
18 a conversation with JMT about this e-mail?

19 A Yes.

20 Q In addition to conversations you had about the e-mail,
21 was there also evidence submitted during the criminal trial
22 with regards to the text messages between Phil Kenner and JMT?

23 A Yes.

24 Q That was Government Exhibit 4511 at the trial?

25 A Yes.

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

29

1 Q In your conversations with JMT, did he speak to you about
2 the Palms?

3 A Yes.

4 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I will offer Exhibit 35.

5 MR. HALEY: Judge, I am not detecting a Bates stamp
6 on this particular document.

7 So this was also provided in advance of trial is the
8 government's representation?

9 MS. LEONARDO: I would have to double check.

10 MR. HALEY: That is my first objection.

11 My second objection is this, Judge. You review this
12 document, this three-page document -- and I know hearsay is
13 admissible, and the Court will determine the extent that such
14 hearsay is admissible, and to the extent such matter is going
15 to be considered by the Court -- this three-page document
16 contains a litany of personal complaints by Mr. McKee back in
17 2010 with reference to his relationship with Phil Kenner and
18 investments which were recommended by Phil, investments that
19 didn't work out, his view of what transpired. A litany of
20 complaints.

21 This document is so unduly prejudicial for the
22 defendant when the defense does not have an opportunity to
23 cross examine Mr. McKee regarding the accuracy of anything
24 contained in this document. It is a blatant attempt, I submit
25 to the Court, to embellish a record, to further demonstrate

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

30

1 the unsupported allegations by various hockey player clients
2 who may have had any number of disputes with Phil Kenner
3 regarding their investments, whether they were advisable or
4 whether they weren't, they made money on the investments or
5 they didn't make money on the investments.

6 I object.

7 MR. CONWAY: I join in that, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: I am reserving on this one as well.
9 Obviously, Mr. McKee testified at the trial. So it is not as
10 if he didn't testify at all. I didn't have a chance to go
11 through the e-mail and see whether the matters were raised in
12 this e-mail, whether they related to his trial testimony or
13 related to other matters.

14 In any event, I am reserving on this issue. So I
15 will reserve on 55.

16 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I know you are reserving
17 on 55. I would point out to the Court that with regard to
18 text messages that were admitted into evidence during the
19 trial, they discussed payments that Mr. McKee was making to
20 the Palms. That was discussed during the criminal trial.

21 THE COURT: Again, I have to go back and compare
22 this e-mail to what his testimony was and what the text
23 messages were. I can't do it at the moment.

24 MR. HALEY: Judge, I don't dispute that aspect of
25 it. But that document the government is introducing into

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

31

1 evidence goes far beyond the specific e-mails that were at
2 issue during the course of the trial. He talks about a myriad
3 of other matters and complaints he has.

4 THE COURT: I will look it over.

5 MR. HALEY: Thank you.

6 THE COURT: And also, if the government, at the
7 hearing today can just verify if this is a new e-mail or
8 something that was provided prior to trial.

9 MS. LEONARDO: I will double check.

10 (So marked as Forfeiture Exhibit 55 in evidence.)

11 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 MS. LEONARDO:

13 Q Agent Wayne, I would just ask you to look at Forfeiture
14 Exhibit 69.

15 A Yes.

16 Q In addition to what you testified to on the first date of
17 the hearing with regard to Michael Peca --

18 A Yes.

19 Q -- I believe you introduced into evidence Chart 22?

20 A Yes.

21 Q With regard to the payment for the Palms coming from CMD
22 Management?

23 A Right.

24 Q It is also already in evidence during the criminal trial
25 as Exhibit 1753 and 1751.

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

32

1 A Yes.

2 Q There are checks with regard to Mr. Peca's unit in
3 evidence, in those exhibits, correct?

4 A That is correct.

5 Q Looking at Exhibit 69, what is that?

6 A Well, this second check is the one that -- it is a
7 \$100,000 check from Constantine Management Group to Stewart
8 Title for, it says the unit in the memo, C-6304.

9 Q This came from CMG bank records?

10 A No. This came from the Palms.

11 Q Did you verify it with the CMG bank statements?

12 A Yes. The bank statement shows that \$100,000 check, and
13 the check number is 1051.

14 MS. LEONARDO: I will offer Exhibit 69 in evidence.

15 MR. CONWAY: The standing objection.

16 MR. HALEY: The same thing.

17 THE COURT: Subject to the Court's ruling on
18 Exhibit 69, it is admitted.

19 (So marked as Forfeiture Exhibit 69 in evidence.)

20 Q Agent Wayne, looking at Forfeiture Exhibit 32 and 67.

21 A What was the other number?

22 Q 32 and 67.

23 A Okay.

24 Q Looking at Exhibit 32, do you recognize that?

25 A Yes. It is the Diamante del Mar general ledger.

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

33

1 Q Where did the government obtain that?

2 A From Jowdy's attorney.

3 Q Was it also produced to the SEC?

4 A Yes.

5 Q The numbers on it indicate PK-SEC?

6 A Yes.

7 Q So this was a copy received from Jowdy's attorney and
8 copied to the SEC?

9 A I am not sure about Jowdy's attorney. I misspoke. It
10 came from the SEC.

11 Q Exhibit 67, do you recognize that?

12 THE COURT: What number is that?

13 MS. LEONARDO: 67.

14 THE COURT: The prior one?

15 MS. LEONARDO: 32.

16 THE COURT: 32.

17 A Yes. It is an e-mail between Ken Jowdy and Phil Kenner
18 discussing money going down for ASI, which is a loan.

19 Q The last time we were here the Court reserved on
20 Exhibit 31. Can you look at 31 and tell us about it?

21 A It is an e-mail between Phil Kenner and Antinello.

22 Q In sum and substance what is the e-mail about?

23 A It is talking about his investments. One of them being a
24 Hawaii investment of 100,000.

25 Q In looking at the e-mail contained in 67 and the ledger

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

34

1 what, if anything, occurred with Mr. Stumpel?

2 A \$100,000 went to Little Isle, and then from there money
3 goes to Ula Makika, and from there it goes to Diamante del
4 Mar.

5 Q How many went from Ula Makika to Diamante del Mar?

6 A 40,000.

7 Q According to this e-mail when did that occur?

8 A 11/15/2005.

9 Q Looking at the DDM ledger, does the DDM ledger indicate
10 that there was a \$40,000 wire transfer?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Where does that go?

13 A It goes to KSI Capital to pay for attorney fees at
14 closing.

15 Q Did you confirm this with Little Isle records that are in
16 evidence at 2101 at pages 189 to 191?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And the Ula Makika records that show a \$40,000 wire
19 transfer to DDM, correct?

20 A Yes.

21 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, we will offer Exhibit 32
22 and 67.

23 THE COURT: Did you say 32-SEC on the bottom of it?
24 Did you say that? I don't see that on the document.

25 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I am sorry. We had an

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

35

1 original 32 that I had replaced with the SEC one. You should
2 have a copy of it.

3 MR. HALEY: We do have one that reveals it has an
4 SEC designation.

5 THE COURT: Any objection to 32 or 67?

6 MR. HALEY: Judge, the same objection.

7 MR. CONWAY: Judge, I have a standing objection.

8 Also, going back, this document 67 appears to be the
9 same -- and I don't know the exhibit number, but we are
10 arguing that this document we may not have been given at all.
11 It has the same type of Bates stamp number that we argue, I
12 believe, Exhibit 54.

13 Again, this is not a document that we recall. This
14 could have been a document that I think would have been
15 helpful at trial. I don't recall seeing it and I don't know
16 if we ever got it before. So I will put the objection in and
17 request that the Court ask the government to go back and check
18 it if this was ever produced to defense prior to now.

19 THE COURT: That one has a KJ marking on it, KJ
20 2585. Do you know if that was in evidence before at the trial
21 or not?

22 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I believe it was just
23 before trial. I will verify that. I believe with the Bates
24 numbering, that may have been documents produced from the
25 defendant to us in typical discovery. I will verify that,

WAYNE-DIRECT-LEONARDO

36

1 Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. KJ, is that Kenneth Jowdy?

3 MS. LEONARDO: I would think that it would be, but I
4 am not sure if it came from our production to the defendants
5 or the defendant's typical production.

6 THE COURT: Just double check that. I am admitting
7 those subject to resolving the standing objection and,
8 obviously, having you verify it. I am talking about Exhibit
9 67, and the standing objection applies to Exhibit 32.

10 (So marked as Forfeiture Exhibit 32 and 67 in
11 evidence.)

12 MS. LEONARDO: May I have one moment, Your Honor?

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I have no further
15 questions.

16 THE COURT: Do you want to take a short break? A 10
17 minute break and we will have the cross-examination.

18 (Whereupon a recess was taken at 11:20 a.m.)

19 (Matter continued at 11:38 a.m.)

20 THE CLERK: All rise.

21 THE COURT: Please be seated.

22 All right. Go ahead, Mr. Haley.

23 MR. HALEY: Thank you, Judge.

24 Judge, I believe I am going to lose the Court's
25 compliment that I was the most technologically smooth attorney

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

37

1 during the course of the trial because I am unable to focus
2 this document. Having said that, Your Honor, Your Honor has a
3 copy of the document on the bench, F0RF-1, the search and
4 seizure.

5 THE COURT: Yes, I have got it.

6 MR. HALEY: I won't use the overhead projector.

7 CROSS EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. HALEY:

9 Q Agent Wayne, good morning.

10 A Good morning.

11 Q Agent Wayne, you have Chart F0RF-1 in front of you?

12 A Yes.

13 Q When you testified at the commencement of this proceeding
14 on February 12th, 2016, do you recall if that was the first
15 chart introduced into evidence?

16 A Yes.

17 Q There was an inquiry made during the course of the voir
18 dire as to the source of the information you utilized to
19 prepare that chart.

20 Do you recall questions of that nature?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Do you recall, on Page 22 Line 19, with reference to the
23 chart the Court asking the following question (reading):

24 "QUESTION: All the information contained in this
25 chart from things that were in evidence, or some of it --

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

38

1 THE WITNESS: Some of it from information outside
2 the evidence."

3 A Yes.

4 Q Would you kindly indicate to the Court what evidence
5 outside the evidence was used to prepare that chart?

6 A Outside the evidence, what I meant by that was in the
7 criminal trial evidence was put in. And then we had these
8 documents, the forfeiture documents. That is the other
9 evidence I was talking about.

10 Q With reference to the forfeiture documents are you aware
11 that in December of 2015, specifically December 15, 2015,
12 following the conclusion of the trial the government provided
13 additional Rule 16 material on three separate CD disks?

14 Are you aware of that?

15 A I am aware we provided other documents.

16 Q Were you part of the team that collected those other
17 documents that were supplied to the defense on December 15,
18 2015?

19 A Some of those documents, yes. I was part of that team.

20 Q Would you kindly identify those documents that were
21 provided in the December 15, 2015 submission that was
22 contained on those CD disks?

23 A That I provided?

24 Q Yes, sir.

25 A The Gaudet documents.

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

39

1 Q I am sorry?

2 A The Gaudet documents.

3 Q Were the Gaudet documents utilized in the preparation of
4 one or more of the charts that have been introduced during the
5 course of this forfeiture hearing, yes or no?

6 A Yes.

7 Q What charts?

8 A Well, this chart itself.

9 Q Meaning Chart 1?

10 A Chart 1.

11 Q Okay.

12 A Let me see if there are any other charts. I believe that
13 was it. Chart 23 reflects the schedule, the line of credit
14 money that went to Bob Gaudet, and those records might have
15 also been included but I'm not sure.

16 Q Now, you testified during the course of your direct
17 examination that information contained in the charts, and your
18 testimony concerning your opinion as to whether or not the
19 property sought to be forfeited is connected to the offense,
20 was based in part on documents that were provided to you by
21 others, is that true?

22 A Right.

23 Q In those documents that were provided to you by others,
24 and we know some of it was provided by Mr. Harvey, Mr. Jowdy's
25 attorney, is that correct?

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

40

1 A Right.

2 Q Did Mr. Jowdy's attorney provide you, sir, with prior
3 testimony of his client concerning the nature of the funds
4 that were sent to him from Little Isle IV to Baja Development?

5 A I don't know.

6 Q I am going to ask you to take a look at what has been
7 marked as Exhibit F-1 for identification. I will staple it.
8 It is currently unstapled. It is a two-page document. Just
9 take a look at both pages.

10 MR. HALEY: I previously provided a copy to the
11 government, Judge.

12 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I specifically told
13 counsel I would object to the authenticity of this document.
14 It is half a page of a purported deposition transcript. It
15 doesn't have a page number on it. It is, obviously, a
16 redacted portion. I don't know where it came from. I didn't
17 see the entire document. I specifically told counsel I would
18 object to it.

19 MR. HALEY: I said that I would refer to it but I am
20 not offering it into evidence. We did have an agreement that
21 I would endeavor to obtain a full transcript. It is an
22 excerpt from that transcript. If the government wishes to see
23 the full transcript I believe they are entitled to do so, but
24 at this point in time it is only marked for identification.
25 It is a discrete question I have for this witness with regard

1 to that document.

2 THE COURT: You can ask him a question regarding it.
3 But I think if there is something in Mr. Jowdy's testimony
4 that is inconsistent with what the agent has prepared, I would
5 suggest in your case you introduce it, assuming you can get a
6 full transcript of whatever was said so the government will
7 not have an objection to an excerpt. I am not sure what he is
8 going to be able to say about this but let's give it a shot.

9 MR. HALEY: Judge, I don't want to use the word
10 "trust me," but if I could ask the one question?

11 THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm trusting you.

12 MR. HALEY: Thank you.

13 Q My question is simply this, sir, if you take a look at
14 this document, and the front page of the document, did
15 Mr. Jowdy's attorney, Tom Harvey, provide you a copy of the
16 transcript in connection with the matter entitled "Greg
17 DeVries -- I won't go on -- "versus Kenneth Jowdy," which
18 action was apparently commenced in the Superior Court of the
19 State of California, County of Los Angeles Central District,
20 yes or not?

21 A I don't know.

22 Q And seeing this document doesn't refresh your
23 recollection?

24 A It did not.

25 Q You told us a moment ago that you relied upon information

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

42

1 obtained from other sources as relates to the creation of the
2 chart, Chart 1 and others, as well as your opinion that the
3 property being sought by the government is related to the
4 offense of conviction.

5 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, objection. That was
6 sustained -- well, it was sustained during the first day of
7 testimony. He does not have an opinion or knowledge of the
8 indictment.

9 THE COURT: You don't need to go into whether or not
10 it is covered by the indictment or not. The first part of the
11 question is okay, all right. What his opinion is of whether
12 it was covered by the indictment is irrelevant.

13 MR. HALEY: Judge, if I may, for the purposes of the
14 record the government did elicit this answer in response to
15 this question (reading):

16 "QUESTION: In addition to those acts, did you also
17 identify assets that were directly traceable to the line of
18 credit money for Global Settlement account money?

19 ANSWER: Yes."

20 If I may, Judge, he is rendering an opinion as to
21 what is directly traceable to two aspects of the indictment by
22 virtue of his investigation. So that is why I asked the
23 question.

24 THE COURT: That part is okay.

25 MR. HALEY: Okay.

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

43

1 Q Well, sir, is it fair to state that documents that you
2 would often rely upon or did rely upon in forming part of your
3 investigation with reference to the charts and determinations
4 as to the traceability of funds from Global Settlement to,
5 let's say, other assets was based upon documents like 3500
6 material?

7 A It was documents in 3500 and all the documents that were
8 in evidence from the criminal trial.

9 Q Even though the Court is well aware 3500 material is
10 what, sir?

11 A Records that are turned over to the defense.

12 Q But they are also records generated by the government in
13 connection with its investigation which are then turned over
14 to the defense, is that true?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Those records would include, I take it, notes of
17 interviews of witnesses the government intends to present at
18 trial, is that correct?

19 A It could be those, yes.

20 Q Well, kindly take a look at Kenner Exhibit F-2.

21 Do you recognize that document?

22 A Yes.

23 Q What is it?

24 A It is a 302.

25 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, can we have a copy,

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

44

1 please?

2 MR. HALEY: I am sorry. I alerted you to the
3 fact --

4 MS. LEONARDO: Of what this document is?

5 MR. HALEY: Yes. I thought I showed it to you a
6 moment ago before Mr. Wayne took the stand.

7 (Handing to counsel.)

8 Q What is that, sir?

9 A It is a record or a report of an interview with Kenneth
10 Jowdy.

11 Q An interview conducted by who?

12 A By myself and Matt Galioto.

13 Q When did that interview take place?

14 A May 20, 2014.

15 Q In the course of that interview, did Mr. Jowdy tell
16 you -- I don't need you to look at the entire document. I am
17 just trying to move it along.

18 In the course of that interview did Mr. Jowdy tell
19 you how Kenner obtained his 39 percent interest in the
20 Diamante Cabo San Lucas project?

21 A I don't recall him saying that. But...

22 Q Would you kindly take a look at Page 2 of Kenner Exhibit
23 F-2. The third paragraph. Does that refresh your
24 recollection as to what Mr. Jowdy told you as to how Philip
25 Kenner acquired his 39 percent interest in Diamante Cabo San

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

45

1 Lucas?

2 A Yes.

3 Q What is your recollection of that, sir?

4 A That Kenner borrowed the money from Jozef Stumpel and
5 Jerry Linton.

6 Q It was through the borrowing money from Jerry Linton to
7 Jozef Stumpel that Phil Kenner acquired his 39 percent
8 interest in Diamante Cabo San Lucas through August 2006,
9 correct?

10 A Can you repeat the question?

11 Q Sure. Ken Jowdy told you that the source of funds by
12 which Phillip Kenner acquired his 39 percent in Diamante Cabo
13 San Lucas was through money loaned to him by Jerry Linton and
14 Jozef Stumpel, correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q I want you to take a look at what is marked as Kenner
17 Exhibit F-3.

18 Will you exam that document?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Do you recognize that, sir?

21 A I don't recognize that.

22 Q Well, in the course of your association with the
23 investigation of Phillip Kenner and Tommy Constantine, were
24 you privy to all of the 3500 material that was generated in
25 that investigation?

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

46

1 A Yes.

2 Q During the course of that investigation were you privy to
3 statements made by the witnesses who -- other law enforcement
4 agents, specifically FBI agents, though you may not have taken
5 that statement were you privy to that?

6 A I had access to it, yes.

7 Q As it relates to Kenner Exhibit F-3, could you tell the
8 Court what this appears to be, given your background and
9 experience with this investigation?

10 A It appears to be --

11 MS. LEONARDO: Objection, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Give an offer of proof, Mr. Haley. What
13 do you believe it to be, 3500 material from a witness? Which
14 witness?

15 MR. HALEY: John Kaiser.

16 THE COURT: What is the government's objection? Let
17 me just say this. To streamline Mr. Haley's cross if he has
18 given the government's deference to the hearsay, obviously in
19 this proceeding, if Mr. Haley has any statements of any
20 witnesses at trial or who would have relevant information
21 regarding the funds and the properties that are at issue here
22 I don't see why he can't utilize those and make them a part of
23 the record as well. If Mr. Kaiser said something inconsistent
24 that Agent Wayne is relying on I don't know why the government
25 would have an objection to it.

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

47

1 MS. LEONARDO: My objection to this is that he is
2 trying to have the witness authenticate the document. He has
3 already said he wasn't present and he didn't recognize the
4 document. It is from October 19th of 2010. He was not
5 present for this interview.

6 THE COURT: Do you want me to have Mr. Haley call
7 every agent who interviewed Mr. Kaiser or Mr. Jowdy to
8 authenticate it for the Court? I mean, that is kind of crazy.

9 MS. LEONARDO: No, Your Honor. But this witness
10 just testified he is not familiar with this. He was not
11 involved in the interview.

12 THE COURT: Given the government's efforts to use
13 hearsay, as I said to Mr. Haley, unless the government is
14 going to suggest to me that one of these reports was not
15 produced by a government agent I will allow you to produce any
16 one of the reports. If you want to question the witness
17 regarding something you think is inconsistent with the
18 conclusions he has drawn in the analysis of the bank records,
19 I will allow you to do that.

20 MR. HALEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Indeed, that is
21 where I was headed. I felt it important that at least the
22 agent recognizes it is a document that was produced, although
23 by other agents, in the course of the --

24 THE COURT: To save time, tell me what has been
25 produced to you. If the government believes you are

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

48

1 misrepresenting what it is they can object.

2 MR. HALEY: As an offer of proof, Judge, these are
3 handwritten notes taken by Scott Romanowski, with Matt Galioto
4 present, concerning the interview of John Kaiser on
5 October 19, 2010.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

7 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. HALEY:

9 Q Agent Wayne, as relates to now what is Kenner Exhibit
10 F-3 -- first of all, are you able to read -- because it is
11 handwritten, are you able to read the handwritten notes on
12 Page 2 of this document? You see a circle JK, you see another
13 circle KJ.

14 Can you read that handwriting, yes or no?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Would you kindly read to yourself where you are able to
17 discern from these handwritten notes from the bottom of Page 2
18 to the first and third portion of Page 3. Read it to
19 yourself.

20 A Should I read it?

21 Q Yes, sir. If you can understand the writing. If you
22 can, you can. If you can't, you can't, and advise us.

23 (Witness reads the document requested.)

24 A Yes, I read it.

25 Q As a result of reading that, sir, do those notes refer to

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

49

1 statements that John Kaiser made to Scott Romanowski in the
2 presence of Matt Galioto concerning his knowledge of a loan
3 from Hawaii to Ken Jowdy, yes or no?

4 A It is Hawaii and Mexico.

5 Q Excuse me, Hawaii and Mexico?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Do you recall Exhibit 43 being introduced as a defense
8 exhibit during the course of the trial?

9 A No.

10 Q Were you present during the testimony of Phil Kenner
11 during the course of the trial, sir?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Did you hear his testimony regarding money, loans that
14 flowed from Hawaii, hockey player client's money, to Ken Jowdy
15 by way of a loan for purposes of development of Diamante Cabo
16 San Lucas?

17 A I think he said it was money going down from -- I don't
18 know if it was Hawaii, money going to Mexico. I am not sure
19 he specifically mentioned Cabo San Lucas. I would have to
20 review the record of his testimony.

21 Q Your best recollection during the course of the testimony
22 is he characterized these monies as being loans as opposed to
23 investments, correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Now, Chart 24, which is in evidence reflects -- this was

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

50

1 your testimony -- monies coming from the various lines of
2 credit, various hockey player clients, correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Into Little Isle IV, correct?

5 A Right.

6 Q And then to Baja Development Corp, is that correct?

7 A Baja and Diamante.

8 Q Baja and Diamante, correct.

9 A Yes.

10 Q Those monies, as best you know, that flowed from Little
11 Isle IV to Baja Development Corp were monies loaned were they
12 not?

13 A According to Kenner's testimony that is what he said.

14 Q As a matter of fact a loan, according to Kenner's
15 testimony, with a rate of return of 15 percent, is that
16 correct?

17 A I believe that is what he said, yes.

18 Q Indeed is it fair to state, sir, that Chart 26 also
19 reflects -- I believe Chart 26 is 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 in
20 length.

21 A Yes.

22 Q Does that also reflect money coming from a line of credit
23 to Little Isle IV and then to a particular individual, is that
24 correct?

25 A Yes.

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

51

1 Q That individual was actually the owner of the property in
2 Diamante Cabo San Lucas, is that correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Based on Kenner's testimony was that part of the loan to
5 Baja Development Corp or an entity controlled by Ken Jowdy for
6 purposes of developing the Cabo San Lucas property?

7 A I would have to review his testimony. He got specific
8 with the transactions.

9 Q But all your charts reflect that money comes out of a
10 line of credit, goes to Little Isle IV, and then goes to the
11 owner of the property of Diamante Cabo San Lucas, correct?

12 A In this first chart, yes.

13 Q In the second chart as well, the same owners, correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q In the third chart?

16 A Yes.

17 Q In the fourth?

18 A Yes.

19 Q The fifth is different. The sixth, the last page.

20 A Yes.

21 Q Now Diamante Cabo San Lucas is currently an entity in
22 operation, is that true?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Rather than elicit it because I know we have a trial
25 transcript, but this transcript may have a different part of

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

52

1 consideration by an appellate court or not.

2 Diamante Cabo San Lucas is not only an ongoing
3 operation but it is an operation, to the best of your
4 knowledge, run and controlled by Ken Jowdy, is that correct?

5 A That is correct.

6 Q The same Ken Jowdy who has refused to employ the witness
7 John Kaiser, who testified here?

8 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, objection to relevance.

9 THE COURT: Sustained. We have already covered this
10 during the trial.

11 MR. HALEY: Okay. Very well.

12 Q Returning to Chart 1, sir. Let's go to the fourth
13 column, Diamante Cabo San Lucas.

14 Do you see that?

15 A The fourth column?

16 Q I am sorry. The last column.

17 A Yes.

18 Q We are on F0RF-1, correct?

19 A Yes.

20 Q You have in that column \$100,000 related to each line
21 which says, that we can read, a reference to Diamante Cabo San
22 Lucas.

23 Do you see that?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Sir, that was a deposit made by Greg DeVries in the

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

53

1 amount of \$100,000 for purposes of obtaining an investment
2 interest in Diamante Cabo San Lucas, correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q With reference to the 15 hundred (sic) under Jerry
5 Linton, that was also money transferred to Ken Jowdy from an
6 account credited to Jerry Linton for purposes of Mr. Linton
7 acquiring an ownership interest in Diamante Cabo San Lucas or
8 someone else?

9 A I believe it was a loan of 1.5 million.

10 Q And you say, "the loan." This was the loan that Jerry
11 Linton made to Phil Kenner for the purposes of Phil Kenner
12 acquiring his 39 percent interest in Diamante Cabo San Lucas?

13 A The loan that Linton made to Phil Kenner? It is unclear.
14 Some documents that were seen were going to Phil Kenner and
15 some were a loan to Jowdy. To me, it is unclear whether it is
16 a loan to Kenner or Jowdy.

17 Q As relates to the \$100,000 that Greg DeVries sent to Ken
18 Jowdy for purposes of acquiring an investment interest in
19 Diamante Cabo San Lucas, as relates to that, what if any
20 material misrepresentations did Phil Kenner make to Greg
21 DeVries as relates to Greg DeVries' decision to invest in
22 Diamante Cabo San Lucas in order to obtain an equity interest?

23 MS. LEONARDO: Objection, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Any statement that DeVries made to him I
25 will allow that, but he is not going to sit up here and try to

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

54

1 be a summary witness of whatever interviews may exist in the
2 world. It may be a misrepresentation or not. He is just
3 testifying as to the tracking of the money and entities, as he
4 has testified.

5 MR. HALEY: May I make an offer of proof so the
6 Court can understand the relevance from my perspective.

7 THE COURT: Yes. I am not saying it is not
8 relevant. If you have other evidence you want to make me
9 aware of it is fine.

10 What is your offer of proof?

11 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, what I view as to what is
12 occurring here is the government is seeking now by virtue of a
13 forfeiture hearing to identify other investments made by
14 hockey player clients into other matters, specifically
15 Diamante Cabo San Lucas; specifically Diamante del Mar -- that
16 has no relationship whatsoever to the offenses of conviction.

17 What they are seeking to do here Judge is say, okay,
18 from our perspective Mr. Kenner defrauded his hockey player
19 clients with respect to the Hawaii Land Development; with
20 respect to Eufora; with respect to Global Settlement Fund; and
21 with respect to the Led Better project. But now that we are
22 in forfeiture we want to throw into a chart other investments
23 made by clients of Mr. Kenner as relates to properties that
24 weren't connected with Hawaii Land Development and argue that
25 those properties are to be forfeited.

1 THE COURT: I understand that argument but you can
2 just point that out to me in your summation or if you want to
3 make a written submission. To the extent you think that is
4 what the government is doing in pointing to particular items
5 of money that there is no evidence in the record that there
6 was any omission or misrepresentation to the hockey player
7 regarding that particular investment, even if they put on
8 testimony at trial that there was some other aspect of the
9 investment, if you believe there was no testimony at the trial
10 or no testimony to support that there was some type of
11 misrepresentation made for a particular investment I would be
12 happy to look at that.

13 MR. HALEY: Okay.

14 THE COURT: I just don't think it is going to be
15 productive. The agent is not capable of sorting through the
16 whole record. It is the government's burden to prove that.

17 MR. HALEY: I asked the question because I knew the
18 answer. The answer is simply this, there is no evidence that
19 I have come across that Mr. Kenner ever made a material
20 misrepresentation to Greg DeVries getting Greg DeVries to make
21 a conscious decision to invest in Diamante del Mar.

22 That is why I asked the question.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 You may continue.

25 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION

WAYNE-CROSS-HALEY

56

1 BY MR. HALEY:

2 Q If I could, sir, as relates to all of the monies that are
3 reflected in column -- the last column of FORF-1, Diamante
4 Cabo San Lucas. Those were monies that were directly
5 deposited in some sense from those individuals to Diamante
6 Cabo San Lucas for the purpose of those individuals acquiring
7 investment interest in that entity, Diamante Cabo San Lucas,
8 with the exception of the \$1,500 from Jerry Linton and the
9 monies that flowed from Joseph Stumpel, is that correct?

10 A It is 1.5 million, for the record.

11 Q Yes.

12 A Yes, you are correct.

13 (Matter continued on the next page.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

57

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continuing)

2 BY MR. HALEY:

3 Q Let's go to column 9, the Diamante Del Mar property.

4 A Yes.

5 Q Similarly, are the amounts reflected in those columns
6 the functions of money flowing from the various
7 individuals that are listed on your chart to Diamante Del
8 Mar for purposes of those individuals acquiring an
9 investment interest in Diamante Del Mar?

10 A Yes.

11 THE COURT: Let me ask Ms. Leonardo to address
12 that issue first.

13 You understand what his argument is,
14 Ms. Leonardo? In other words, his argument is that it's
15 one thing to say, obviously we had much proof about it at
16 the trial, that quantity of money was money that was
17 invested by hockey players in Hawaii, was taken from
18 Hawaii and moved to Mexico, to an investment in Mexico
19 without their authorization.

20 Mr. Haley is arguing that there are other monies
21 that were directly put by the hockey players into either
22 Diamante Del Mar or Diamante Cabo San Lucas that did not
23 come out of Hawaii that were direct investments, whatever
24 they might be. And that the suggestion is that there is
25 an absence of proof in the record that that was

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

58

1 unauthorized for any particular hockey player.

2 So what is your response to that?

3 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I'm just going to
4 have Ms. O'Connor to address this.

5 MS. O'CONNOR: Your Honor, that might not be an
6 exact certainly since that money was sent there for
7 investments. However, it's still relevant for purposes of
8 this trial because it's property involved in money
9 laundering transactions. And in certain cases proceeds in
10 that but for the fraudulent proceeds defendants would not
11 have been seeing these funds, these legitimate investment
12 funds to make the purchases.

13 So these are more legal arguments that could be
14 addressed in our briefs.

15 THE COURT: But the legal argument the
16 government is going to make is that even if there was no
17 misrepresentation made with respect to that particular
18 investment because they were being defrauded on some other
19 investment, they would not have made that investment if
20 they had known they had been defrauded. And that's the
21 argument.

22 MS. O'CONNOR: Depending on certain things,
23 actually. However, legitimate investment money was at
24 times co-mingled with fraudulent proceeds for the
25 purchases of property. And that is how it is relevant in

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

59

1 this trial in determining the amount of the forfeiture.

2 THE COURT: Why can't I just determine the
3 amount of forfeiture, putting aside your issue, a separate
4 legal issue of whether or not your first argument would
5 carry weight.

6 But on the issue of commingling, why wouldn't it
7 be, why couldn't the Court just determine what money went
8 from Hawaii to the entity that was unauthorized and order
9 that amount or percentage forfeited? Why does the
10 co-mingling matter?

11 MS. O'CONNOR: Well, the law recognizes that
12 when these funds are co-mingled with tainted funds, under
13 the money laundering conspiracy you're unable to consider
14 all of the money involved that went to the purchase of the
15 property.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

17 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, maybe direct, maybe we
18 can expedite matters. There is another issue, if the
19 Court can't understand the relevance.

20 As we know, there was no special verdict here.
21 So the government cannot argue by virtue of the verdict
22 itself that the jury made a determination that there was
23 wire mail fraud associated with each of the four separate
24 schemes alleged in the indictment.

25 The Court presumably as the sentencing Court,

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

1 and indeed for purposes of a forfeiture determination, may
2 reach other conclusions based upon a different standard.

3 And I don't dispute that potential authority of the Court.

4 Here is my argument though, judge, so the Court
5 can understand where I'm going with reference to other
6 questions asked of this witness, and even questions that
7 the defense will present in its presentation.

8 There was money that we acknowledge that flowed
9 from Hawaii to Baja Development Corp for purposes of, as
10 has been testified to, the acquisition of land in Diamante
11 Del Mar as well as Diamante Cabo San Lucas and the
12 development of those two properties.

13 Mr. Kenner testified that those monies that
14 flowed from Hawaii or its entities, corporate banking
15 entities, Ula Makika corporate accounts were loans to Ken
16 Jowdy, where it is anticipated that there would be a 15
17 percent return on those loans.

18 There was testimony during the course of the
19 trial as to the extent of knowledge individual investors
20 may have had with reference to those loans that were now
21 flowing out of the Hawaii investment portfolio to Mexico
22 for purposes of the Mexican development.

23 Indeed your Honor may recall there were
24 questions about prior Grand Jury testimony where witnesses
25 acknowledged they were aware of the loans to Mexico to Ken

60

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

1 Jowdy, the point of which they became aware of them became
2 a little bit of a dispute, but after the Grand Jury
3 testimony with reference to that, it might be in the
4 record and I could point at this point to how Mr. Peca
5 responded to them in substance. And he said, I wasn't
6 aware initially. But yes, we did become aware and my
7 testimony was truthful in that respect.

8 The issue as to whether or not these were loans
9 to Ken Jowdy. The issue to whether or not the hockey
10 players had prior knowledge of those loans. And the issue
11 to whether or not those circumstances demonstrate some
12 sort of fraudulent intent or fraudulent scheme on the part
13 of Phil Kenner are for determinations by a trier of fact.

14 But my argument is simply this, judge. And I
15 think we've developed that. The money that went from
16 Hawaii to Diamante Cabo San Lucas belonged to Ken Jowdy.
17 This was a loan agreement that was introduced into
18 evidence by the defense. And it has been our position,
19 and it is our position that if there are loans for which
20 there was a 15 percent return on interest, and if hockey
21 players had knowledge of that, there is no fraud
22 associated with that transaction.

23 If there is no fraud associated with that
24 transaction, then the government cannot say that to this
25 Court that whatever interest Phil Kenner had in Diamante

61

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

62

1 Cabo San Lucas ought to be forfeited. Because it's the
2 nexus between the property they seek to forfeit and the
3 offense committed. If no offense has been committed,
4 judge, there is no nexus as far as that is concerned.

5 So I mention this to your Honor because we have
6 documents that there is going to be a relevancy objection.
7 We might as well address it now, for the Court's
8 consideration. And it will be a question of not
9 admissibility in my opinion, but the weight of the
10 evidence, where, for example John Kaiser in a prior
11 proceeding, specifically a proceeding before the American
12 Arbitration Association, testified that he was an investor
13 in Hawaii, \$1.1 million. He sat down with Phil Kenner --
14 and I'm paraphrasing the testimony -- but if I make a
15 mistake I know I'll be corrected -- he along with Phil
16 Kenner discussed the advisability and the advantage of
17 lending money that was slated for the line of credit, to
18 Ken Jowdy at a 15 percent greater return, that would then
19 give them additional funds to develop the Hawaii
20 properties. That the idea was again he would pay back a
21 15 percent interest. We have a loan agreement in
22 evidence. And indeed Kaiser's testimony was that he
23 trusted Ken Jowdy. He anticipated there would be the 15
24 percent return investment. And at that point he did not
25 blame Phil Kenner for the fact that Ken Jowdy never paid

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

63

1 back the loan.

2 What well see, judge, and I'll simply point it
3 out, and I'll make the argument now; the agent, special
4 agent or Agent Joshua has testified there was money
5 flowing from Hawaii to Baja Development Corp, and money
6 that went into the purchase and development of the
7 Diamante Cabo San Lucas and the Diamante Del Mar
8 properties. And that is true.

9 There is also a chart in evidence that shows
10 money had flowed back from Baja Development to the Hawaii
11 accounts. Just like a loan, judge. When you lend money
12 you expect the obligor to begin to pay back that money.
13 And that is what occurred here.

14 So I believe it's necessary, judge, that I
15 develop a record, as strong a record as I'm able, to
16 establish that the money that flowed from the Hawaiian
17 land development to Diamante Cabo San Lucas and Diamante
18 Del Mar, was not part of any fraudulent scheme whatsoever,
19 and indeed ought not to be part of the forfeiture.

20 So that is why I'm moving in that direction.

21 THE COURT: Let me just, again recite what my
22 view is on this. And hopefully this will streamline what
23 you need to do today with the agent.

24 I don't think that your theory and your
25 arguments, I think are certainly relevant. And I'm going

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

1 to let you develop the record. But I think they're more
2 just affirmative arguments that you're making to me as to
3 what the proof does or does not show. In addition if you
4 want to supplement this record with any additional
5 documents or testimony, whichever, I'm going to allow you
6 to.

64

7 I don't think you need do that through this
8 agent. I'm going to let you put in any testimony or
9 statements the government has that you believe are
10 inconsistent with the government's theory of forfeiture in
11 this case.

12 So if you have statements about this either made
13 under oath previously or any witness made in the 302 or
14 any other statement, I'm going allow you to introduce
15 those and have me consider them for these purposes of
16 these arguments you're trying to make. I just don't think
17 it's going to be productive to have the agent show each
18 one of those pieces and seek to authenticate it through
19 him, because I'm going to let you bring it all in any way.
20 Okay?

21 MR. HALEY: Thank you, judge.

22 And with that ruling by the Court, I think I can
23 expedite something right now. I would then offer --

24 THE COURT: And obviously Mr. Kenner is going to
25 testify too, so --

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

65

1 MR. HALEY: I interrupted the Court. I
2 apologize.

3 THE COURT: But I understand why you did want to
4 get in other statements by people to corroborate what you
5 think your client has already testified to at the trial,
6 but anything else you can add on the forfeiture base. So
7 go ahead.

8 MS. O'CONNOR: Your Honor, if I can just add.
9 Much of this was testified to during the criminal trial.
10 In fact you know better than I do. Mr. Kaiser was
11 specifically questioned with regard to his prior
12 testimony. Mr. Peca was specifically questioned with
13 regard to his prior testimony. So to the extent that
14 counsel is trying to retry this entire case, as your Honor
15 knows the jury already made the findings.

16 THE COURT: But his argument is that the jury
17 did not make a finding as to a particular scheme, because
18 there were multiple schemes. But he is trying, for
19 purposes of the forfeiture, and I assume he's going to do
20 the same thing for purposes of sentencing, that he is
21 trying to essentially reargue it before me, because there
22 wasn't a particular finding as to each scheme by the jury.
23 It was not a special verdict form.

24 MS. O'CONNOR: And your Honor, again, this is
25 our position, I think we said this before, because it is a

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

1 money laundering conspiracy, the Court can consider the
2 charged conduct, uncharged conduct, including conduct, any
3 conduct that leads to the money laundering scheme.

66

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. HALEY: This will be quick, judge, if I may?

6 I would simply -- I previously provided to the
7 government offered in evidence Kenner Exhibit F-4, which
8 consists of the testimony of John Kaiser in or about May
9 of 2009. It's a full and complete transcript, judge.

10 That will be Exhibit 4.

11 I'm speaking to myself -- withdrawn.

12 BY MR. HALEY:

13 Q Now agent, I want you to take a look at F0RF-44, that
14 was introduced into evidence, I believe just a short while
15 ago.

16 Do you recall this?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Now as relates to various entries on this document,
19 page 1, page 2, page 3; this is a chart you prepared,
20 correct?

21 A I did not prepare this chart.

22 Q Who prepared this chart?

23 A It was given to us by Jowdy's attorney.

24 Q And the document given to you by Jowdy's attorney
25 contains, does it not, notations reflecting loans, and the

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

1 word, loans, is used, to Baja Development Corp, correct? 67

2 A Yes.

3 MR. HALEY: I don't know if I made a formal
4 offer, but in reference to Kenner Exhibits F-2 and F 3,
5 which are the 3500 materials.

6 THE COURT: F-2, 3, 4, admitted.

7 MR. HALEY: Thank you, your Honor.

8 (Kenner Exhibits F-2, F-3, F-4 in evidence.)

9 THE COURT: F-1 was the deposition which I said
10 if you got a full version of it I would allow that in.

11 MR. HALEY: Yes, sir.

12 BY MR. HALEY:

13 Q Now going back, sir, to F0RF-1, the tenth column,
14 Palms?

15 A Yes.

16 Q I see a \$500,000 notation attributed to Kaiser and
17 others, correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And would you kindly describe to the Court the source
20 of that \$500,000?

21 A Bank records that went from John Kaiser to Phil
22 Kenner. And in speaking with John Kaiser he said he
23 invested in the Palms, and that was for the Palms.

24 Q And we see \$1 million 572 for Jay McKee, correct?

25 A Yes.

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

68

1 Q And what is your understanding as to the source of
2 those funds?

3 A It's Jay McKee's estimated loss for the Palms.

4 Q Well when you say Jay McKee's estimated loss for the
5 Palms, is that a figure that he gave you, said this is my
6 estimated loss for the Palms?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Did he provide bank records in substantiation of that
9 amount as set forth on that line, that exact amount
10 actually went to the Palms? Yes or no?

11 A No.

12 Q With reference to the \$290,000 for Ethan Moreau, what
13 was the source of that money?

14 A There is bank records showing Ethan Moreau sent a CMG
15 for \$290,000 for Palms, to Palms.

16 Q And with reference to the \$2,600,000 on the Michael
17 Peca line, what is the source of those funds?

18 A Michael Peca's estimated loss for the Palms.

19 Q My question regarding Jay McKee. Is that estimated
20 loss as told to you by him verified by bank records in
21 terms of the transfer of those kind of monies?

22 A No.

23 Q During the course of the trial, sir, to your memory
24 was there testimony by any of the government witnesses,
25 meaning any of the individuals listed as investors in the

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

69

1 Palms that I have just stated on the record, that they
2 were in some way fraudulently induced by Phil Kenner to
3 make investment in him Palms; yes or no?

4 A I would have to review that testimony.

5 Q Okay, going to the next column, sir?

6 A Yes.

7 Q We have \$500,000 from Bryan Berard, sir?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And what was the source of those funds to your
10 knowledge?

11 A It was a wire from Bryan Berard down to Robert
12 Gaudet.

13 Q Do you know to where, sir?

14 A Robert Gaudet was the one who was running Frailes.

15 Q I'm just curious. To your knowledge this is an
16 investigator in this case. Was Robert Gaudet ever charged
17 by any accusatory instrument --

18 MS. LEONARDO: Objection.

19 Q -- of being a participant in any fraudulent scheme
20 relating to Phillip Kenner; yes or no?

21 MS. LEONARDO: Objection, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Sustained.

23 BY MR. HALEY:

24 Q You see \$500,000 from Greg DeVries, for Greg DeVries.

25 Is that correct?

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

70

1 A Yes.

2 Q And this is an investment that Greg DeVries made in
3 trading?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Now staying with those two investments, the
6 investment for \$500,000 from Bryan Berard and the \$500,000
7 from Greg DeVries.

8 At any point, to your knowledge, based upon your
9 investigation, did those funds flow through any account
10 controlled by Eufora?

11 A The Frailes money?

12 Q Yes.

13 A Not to my knowledge.

14 Q Well, did the Frailes money come through any account
15 established through, by way of the Global Settlement Fund?

16 A Not to my knowledge.

17 Q To your knowledge, did any of those monies flow
18 through any account associated with or controlled by the,
19 what was the Led Better Corp?

20 A No.

21 Q To your knowledge, do any of those monies flow
22 through or be controlled by an account directly attributed
23 to the Hawaiian land development?

24 A There was Hawaiian credit money that went from the
25 Hawaiian line of credit to, I believe Little Isle and then

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

71

1 down to Robert Gaudet.

2 Q Which money was that?

3 A I believe it was Owen Nolan and Steve Rucchin, Steve
4 Rucchin's line of credit. I didn't include that in the
5 amounts because that was already included in their lines
6 of credit.

7 Q Fair enough.

8 By the way, for purposes of your chart, when you
9 say included in the lines of credit, you drafted this
10 chart based on the position that the monies that was
11 deposited in each individual line of credit is relevant,
12 the entire amount is relevant for purposes of forfeiture.

13 Is that your position?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And again, that's based upon your assumption that --
16 withdrawn.

17 THE COURT: How much more do you have,
18 Mr. Haley?

19 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, I'm, believe it or not,
20 I'm almost done.

21 BY MR. HALEY:

22 Q Finishing off, sir, the Palms inquiry.

23 A Yes.

24 Q Are there any documents that were introduced during
25 the course of the trial that you believe are relevant for

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Haley

72

1 purposes of determining whether there was a nexus between
2 the Hawaiian land development project, the Eufora project,
3 the Global Settlement project and Led Better, and these
4 numbers listed on the Palms?

5 A The Palms documents are numbers related to the, the
6 GSF.

7 THE COURT: Are you aware of any documents in
8 evidence from the trial showing that money went from
9 either Hawaii, Eufora or the Global Settlement Fund to the
10 Palms?

11 A Yes.

12 BY MR. HALEY:

13 Q What documents are those?

14 A Well, first thing is the extension of loan money that
15 eventually went over for the Palms. Also the line of
16 credit money that was eventually paid over to Gardenia for
17 the Palms, for the McKee unit, and also there was, I
18 believe there was testimony regarding Ethan Moreau doing
19 \$90,000 going to CMG.

20 Q Same question with reference to Frailes. What, if
21 any, documents were introduced with reference to the
22 Frailes that would have the same connection to your money?

23 A There was in the Little Isle bank records, you could
24 see line of credit money coming from Owen Nolan and Steve
25 Rucchin to Little Isle and then to Bobby Gaudet, Robert

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

73

1 Gaudet.

2 MR. HALEY: May I have one moment, Judge?

3 THE COURT: Yes.

4 (There was a pause in the proceedings.)

5 MR. HALEY: Agent Wayne?

6 THE WITNESS: Yes.

7 MR. HALEY: Thank you.

8 No further questions, Judge.

9 THE COURT: Thank you.

10 Mr. Conway?

11 CROSS-EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. CONWAY:

13 Q Good afternoon, Agent Wayne.

14 A Good afternoon.

15 Q I'm going to ask you, I think you have Exhibit 1
16 Forfeiture 1, in front of your chart?

17 A Yes.

18 Q I want to start with column, what I'll call column 2
19 which is right after the victims. It starts with Hawaii.

20 A Yes.

21 Q Hawaii, and the next column entitled LOC is one in
22 the same in the sense that they both deal with Hawaii,
23 correct?

24 A They were for -- intended for Hawaii. In some
25 instances they went other places.

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

74

1 Q And when you list Hawaii at the top, you have an
2 amount down at bottom of approximately 2.4 million.

3 Is that from direct investments into the Hawaii
4 account?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And then the second column, that's LOC up on the top,
7 that stands for line of credit?

8 A Yes.

9 Q The line of credit, that money was taken from for --
10 and put into a Hawaiian account?

11 A Taken from? I'm sorry.

12 Q It was taken from Hawaiian transfers, from lines of
13 credits to accounts in Hawaii?

14 A Typically, yes.

15 Q In terms of the first account, Hawaii, the 2.4, that
16 was pursuant to an agreement that some of these victims
17 had entitled Little Isle, or the Little Isle IV?

18 A Yes.

19 Q There was an agreement signed by the investors to
20 invest sums of money.

21 Is that correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q In any of those agreements signed by the investors,
24 were any of those agreements signed by Thomas Constantine?

25 A I would have to review the documents, but I don't

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

75

1 believe so.

2 Q And the victims or the investors you list that
3 invested direct money into Hawaii, in column 1, those who
4 testified at trial, did any of those testify that they had
5 any deals with Tommy Constantine?

6 A I'm sorry, had any dealings with Tommy? They
7 testified that they had dealings with Tommy Constantine.

8 Q Let me rephrase. Bad question.

9 Did any of them testify that they had direct
10 conversations or involvement with Mr. Constantine in
11 reference to these direct investments into Little Isle IV?

12 A Not that I recall.

13 Q Now you looked at, I would imagine, thousands of
14 pages of banks reports for numerous accounts, correct?

15 A Right.

16 Q And this Little Isle, was that one of the accounts
17 you looked at?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Was Thomas Constantine a signatory on that account or
20 accounts?

21 A No.

22 Q The transfers of the money from the Hawaii account or
23 what I'll call the direct deposit account, column 1, those
24 monies would eventually go to other places, correct?

25 A Typically, yes.

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

76

1 Q Any of those Hawaiian transfers done by Tommy
2 Constantine?

3 A I would have to look at the record, but I believe he
4 received some money from Brad's business.

5 Q I'm not saying that he received any of the initial
6 Hawaiian transfers from that Hawaii account, the 2.4
7 million.

8 Were they done by Thomas Constantine?

9 A No.

10 Q Was that money transferred by Thomas Constantine?

11 A Not that I'm aware of, no.

12 Q And if I asked you the same question in terms of the
13 line of credit, did you look at those banks accounts and
14 those opening statements of the monies in the line of
15 credit and/or the trust?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And in those bank documents, was Mr. Constantine a
18 signatory in Northern Trust on any of these accounts?

19 A No.

20 Q And the monies that were deposited into that line of
21 credit that were then wired out, were those wires done by
22 Thomas Constantine?

23 A No.

24 Q In terms of the Sugar Mill project --

25 A Yes.

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

1 Q And there is a word I can't pronounce, but I'll give
2 it a try, Naalehu? 77

3 A Naalehu?

4 Q You say it a lot better than I do.

5 Is that an account that you analyzed in the
6 course of your investigation?

7 A The Naalehu accounts, I may have looked at it. I
8 don't think I analyzed it.

9 Q In the documents you looked at, was Thomas
10 Constantine's name on any of those documents?

11 A Not that I'm aware of.

12 Q Let's go to the end of the document. You have
13 Diamante Cabo San Lucas, correct?

14 A Correct.

15 Q And you have approximately 4.5 million going into
16 that company, correct?

17 A Correct.

18 Q And am I correct in saying that company is Ken
19 Jowdy's company?

20 A Correct.

21 Q And you have approximately six, seven or eight
22 investors who had invested money into that company,
23 correct?

24 A Other investors loaned the money.

25 Q And those six or seven or eight people, those who

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

1 testified at trial, did they testify that Mr. Constantine
2 had any role in having them invest money in the Diamante
3 Cabo San Lucas?

4 A I don't believe so.

5 Q In terms of the column right before that, again a
6 word I can't pronounce, Frailes?

7 A Frailes, yes.

8 Q You have approximately \$6.7 million?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Again, half a dozen to a dozen investors, that's
11 money that the investors invested in this particular
12 venture?

13 A Invested or intended to invest.

14 Q And did any of those who testified at trial, did they
15 testify that Mr. Constantine had any role in getting them
16 to invest that money?

17 A No.

18 Q Two columns before that, Diamante Del Mar, the
19 northern property --

20 A Yes.

21 Q Approximately 9.1 million?

22 A Yes .

23 Q Is that also a Ken Jowdy property?

24 A Yes .

25 Q And likewise, you have a dozen or so investors of

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

79

1 approximately 9.1 million, correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And that was either direct investments or money that
4 came from Hawaii?

5 A Yes. I think those were direct investments.

6 Q Direct investments.

7 Again, any of those investors ever describe
8 dealing with Thomas Constantine in terms of having them
9 invest those monies?

10 A Not that I'm aware of.

11 Q I'm sorry, I missed your answer.

12 A Not that I'm aware of.

13 Q And then there is a column here that is entitled Led
14 Better?

15 A Yes.

16 Q You have one investment of 375,000. Am I correct
17 that Mr. Constantine had nothing to do with the Led Better
18 investment?

19 A That's correct.

20 Q In fact, he wasn't even charged in the indictment
21 with that, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q In terms of the lines of credit that were made to
24 Northern Trust, you analyzed those accounts and you saw
25 through the exhibits a series of documents, players either

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

80

1 executed documents to put money in those lines of credit
2 or signed the documents authorizing money to put those
3 lines of credit in place and things of that nature,
4 correct?

5 A I think there was evidence in the trial.

6 Q And did any of those documents have Mr. Constantine's
7 name on them?

8 A Not that I'm aware of.

9 Q And there wasn't any witnesses that informed you that
10 Mr. Constantine had any involvement in soliciting them to
11 put monies in these lines of credit from Northern Trust,
12 right?

13 A I don't believe so.

14 Q I want to show you -- I think you have it in front of
15 you so I won't show it to you -- chart number 26.

16 A Yes.

17 Q And these are monies from Little Isle going to --
18 again, I can't pronounce the name, but -- atilio antinello
19 (ph). And I'll give you the spelling when we're finished.
20 As you can tell, my Italian is pretty lousy.

21 In this six, seven or eight page chart, these
22 are monies coming from investors, some of the players,
23 going to this particular individual, correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And is that in terms of the Frailes?

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

81

1 A No.

2 Q Which venture is that?

3 A That is the Diamante Cabo San Lucas.

4 Q Diamante, okay.

5 In chart 26 it doesn't mention Thomas
6 Constantine in any of the pages of this chart, correct?

7 A No, it doesn't.

8 Q And none of the investors mention Thomas Constantine
9 as being somebody they spoke to in reference to making
10 investments, correct?

11 A Not that I'm aware of.

12 Q And on chart 24, these are, this is a chart
13 illustrating money coming from Little Isle or, let me back
14 up, money coming from investors into Little Isle and then
15 going to either Baja Development or Del Monte, correct?

16 A Diamante, yes.

17 Q And again, Mr. Constantine was not named or mentioned
18 in this chart?

19 A He is not.

20 Q And the players that are listed in the left column,
21 again, did any of them testify or tell you that
22 Mr. Constantine had any involvement in soliciting these
23 investments from them?

24 A Not that I'm aware of.

25 Q On chart 23, which has the title Frailes, again you

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

1 have two investors making investments into Little Isle and
2 then those investments going to Ula Makika, and then going
3 to Robert Gaudet, correct?

82

4 A They weren't investments into Little Isle, they were
5 lines of credit monies being moved that eventually wound
6 up with Bob Gaudet.

7 Q And again, Mr. Constantine is not named in this
8 chart?

9 A He is not.

10 Q Is it fair to say that the two investors, Mr. Nolan
11 and Mr. Rucchin, did not testify at the trial that Mr. --
12 or testified at the trial that Mr. Constantine had no role
13 in them getting them to invest this money initially into
14 the line of credit?

15 A Not that I'm aware of.

16 Q And on chart 27, this is Cabo San Lucas properties
17 monies, correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And again, you have a two-page chart, you have six,
20 seven different investors, money going into Baja
21 Development that then goes to Ula Makika?

22 A Right.

23 Q Now in this particular chart you have three boxes
24 that indicate money goes to Constantine Management Group,
25 correct?

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

83

1 A That is correct.

2 Q And they total \$45,000. Is it 25,000, 15,000 and
3 5,000?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And you analyzed all these accounts and came up with
6 three particular transactions where money went to CMG for
7 \$40,000?

8 A For these particular transactions, money initially
9 went from the players down to -- for Diamante Cabo San
10 Lucas and then back to Ula Makika.

11 Q And am I correct that the money going to CMG comes
12 from Ula Makika?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And am I correct that at the trial it was, there was
15 evidence showing that Mr. Constantine had a consulting
16 agreement with Ula Makika, correct?

17 A I would have to review that.

18 Q Fair enough.

19 In terms of Constantine Management Group, did
20 you do a full audit or complete analysis of that complete
21 account or accounts?

22 A There was a schedule done. I didn't do the schedule,
23 I didn't prepare the schedule, but there was a schedule
24 done of the statements and the checks.

25 Q And what about Mr. Constantine's personal accounts?

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

84

1 A Also.

2 Q Is that something you did or somebody else did?

3 A Somebody else did.

4 Q You don't have any chart of that right now though?

5 A They might be in the criminal trial.

6 MS. LEONARDO: Objection.

7 A Sorry.

8 Q In today's testimony, Agent Wayne, you introduced a
9 series of exhibits, some that are in evidence, some that
10 are subject to connection. But I'll list them for the
11 record.

12 Forfeiture Exhibit 3, Forfeiture Exhibit 13,
13 Forfeiture Exhibit 31, Forfeiture Exhibit 54, 56, 57, 58,
14 60, 61 and 62.

15 Would you like to see them?

16 A Sure.

17 Q Take a second to look at them.

18 A Yes.

19 Q In any of those exhibits, does the name Thomas
20 Constantine appear?

21 A No.

22 Q And as you sit here today, Agent Wayne, do you know
23 or have any evidence that Mr. Constantine had any
24 ownership interest in Diamante Del Mar?

25 A Diamante Del Mar, no.

Wayne - Cross/Mr. Conway

85

1 Q And Cabo San Lucas?

2 A No.

3 Q And Los Frailes?

4 A Interest in it? I believe he put money into Los
5 Frailes at one time.

6 Q Let me ask you about that. Are you aware from doing
7 your analysis that there came a point when Mr. Constantine
8 invested his own money into Frailes?

9 A Yes.

10 Q In fact, did he mortgage his home for \$500,000 and
11 put that in as an investment into Los Frailes?

12 A That I don't know.

13 Q Other than that, do you believe him to have any
14 ownership in Los Frailes at this time?

15 A No.

16 MR. CONWAY: Judge, can I just have a second?

17 THE COURT: Sure.

18 (There was a pause in the proceedings.)

19 MR. CONWAY: Thank you, your Honor.

20 I have nothing further at this point.

21 THE COURT: Redirect?

22 MS. LEONARDO: No, your Honor, no redirect.

23 THE COURT: You can step down, Agent.

24 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, I do have one question
25 based upon the questioning.

Wayne - Recross/Mr. Haley

86

1 THE COURT: All right.

2 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. HALEY:

4 Q Sir, when you were asked the questions about the
5 figures set forth in F0RF-1 concerning the monies in the
6 Frailes category, your answer was these monies constituted
7 monies either invested or intended to invest?

8 A Yes.

9 Q How much of that, those sums contained in that
10 column, are monies that were, to use your phraseology,
11 intended to be invested?

12 A Maybe intended was a poor choice of words. They put
13 money, money that went to Phil Kenner that Gaudet said
14 never came down to him. That was the, I guess the
15 intended investments. That is what I meant by that.

16 Q Fair enough.

17 MR. HALEY: Thank you, Judge.

18 THE COURT: All right, step down. Thank you.

19 Does the Government rest?

20 MS. LEONARDO: Yes, your Honor, the Government
21 rests.

22 THE COURT: All right. And then the deputy told
23 me that in terms of the defense case you're looking at
24 April 4th.

25 Is that what you're trying to do?

Wayne - Recross/Mr. Haley

87

1 MR. HALEY: Yes, your Honor.

2 MR. CONWAY: Yes, your Honor, that's correct.

3 THE COURT: And do you know what you intend to
4 do yet, Mr. Conway?

5 MR. CONWAY: Well, your Honor, I had spoken to
6 the Government earlier this morning, discussing with my
7 client whether he wishes to testify or not. And we'll let
8 the Court know in due time about that.

9 Depending on the testimony that I anticipate
10 coming in, I told the Government that there is a
11 possibility that I might want to see Agent Wayne again.
12 Not to do with anything that they brought up, but
13 questions that might come up. I know he will be
14 available.

15 And the possibility exists that we would like to
16 call Agent Galioto on some matters. Agent Wayne clearly
17 testified that he wasn't familiar with everything. And I
18 put the Government on notice that we possibly will call
19 Agent Galioto. And to that extent, if there is 3500
20 material for him, I would ask that that be produced.

21 THE COURT: My same offer applies to Mr. Haley,
22 which is, if your purpose of calling Agent Galioto is
23 simply getting some report generated of a witness, I'm
24 going to allow you to get that in without calling him. So
25 I just want you to understand that.

Wayne - Recross/Mr. Haley

88

1 MR. CONWAY: I will take that, your Honor, as
2 the only reason I would call him is if I need to elicit
3 something that I cannot put in directly.

4 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, the final matter relates
5 to Terrace Court Exhibit Number 1, which is the excerpt of
6 the testimony coming out of the proceedings in the
7 Superior Court of the State of California. We did review,
8 for whatever it's worth, we did review discovery that was
9 provided to us by the Government. And we were provided
10 with a full transcript of this particular document.

11 So I'll discuss that with the Government. I'll
12 point out to where it's listed on the material we received
13 from the Government. I think that might resolve that
14 issue so we don't have to delay the proceedings for
15 purposes of obtaining certain --

16 THE COURT: You're saying the Government
17 provided you with a full transcript?

18 MR. HALEY: Correct.

19 THE COURT: Do you know whether that is accurate
20 or not, or you don't know?

21 MS. LEONARDO: I do not know, your Honor. But I
22 can also check that.

23 MR. HALEY: Sure.

24 THE COURT: Why don't we do this? I'm going to
25 admit that portion so we have it admitted. If the

Wayne - Recross/Mr. Haley

89

1 Government has other portions that it wishes to introduce
2 and wants me review the whole transcript for context or
3 completeness, I'm willing to do that. Okay?

4 MS. LEONARDO: Thank you.

5 MR. HALEY: Actually, Judge, that was the
6 purpose of my making the representation at this point, so
7 I didn't forget.

8 THE COURT: Okay, so it's in. Okay.

9 MR. HALEY: Thank you.

10 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I just ask that in
11 advance of the April 4th hearing that counsel provide us
12 with any documents they intend to introduce.

13 MR. CONWAY: Absolutely.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. HALEY: Absolutely, Judge. I might add for
16 purposes of the record, I did indicate to the Government,
17 that though not introduced in the course of the trial, it
18 is our intention, it is not actually that lengthy, to
19 introduce the Grand Jury testimony of Mr. Peca, Mrs. Dorn
20 Mr. Turner. I gave them notice.

21 THE COURT: And for your preparation of
22 Mr. Kenner. As you know, he was on the stand for a long
23 time during the trial. And I want you to understand and
24 Mr. Kenner to understand that we consider any testimony
25 that he already gave without him having to repeat it.

Wayne - Recross/Mr. Haley

90

1 MR. HALEY: Yes, sir.

2 THE COURT: This is really for him just to add
3 anything he wanted to add and obviously additional items
4 that are now coming in. Okay?

5 MR. HALEY: Understood.

6 THE COURT: Okay, on April 4th, say 10:00 a.m.

7 MR. CONWAY: Very good, your Honor. Thank you.

8 MR. HALEY: Thank you, Judge.

9 MS. LEONARDO: Thank you, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Have a good day.

11 (The proceedings were concluded at 1:03 p.m.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

W I T N E S S E S

| | | |
|----|--------------------------------|----|
| | | 91 |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | J O S H U A W A Y N E , | 5 |
| 3 | CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION | 6 |
| 4 | BY MS. LEONARDO | |
| 5 | VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION | 14 |
| 6 | BY MR. HALEY | |
| 7 | CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION | 17 |
| 8 | BY MS. LEONARDO | |
| 9 | CROSS EXAMINATION | 37 |
| 10 | BY MR. HALEY | |
| 11 | CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION | 48 |
| 12 | BY MR. HALEY | |
| 13 | CROSS-EXAMINATION | 73 |
| 14 | BY MR. CONWAY | |
| 15 | RECROSS-EXAMINATION | 86 |
| 16 | BY MR. HALEY | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

W I T N E S S E S

| | | |
|----|--|----|
| | | 92 |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | FORFEITURE EXHIBIT 58 | 9 |
| 3 | FORFEITURE EXHIBIT 60, 61, AND 62 | 13 |
| 4 | FORFEITURE EXHIBIT 44 | 17 |
| 5 | FORFEITURE EXHIBIT 54 AND 57 | 22 |
| 6 | CHART 27 | 24 |
| 7 | FORFEITURE EXHIBIT 52 | 26 |
| 8 | FORFEITURE EXHIBIT 56 | 27 |
| 9 | FORFEITURE EXHIBIT 13 | 28 |
| 10 | FORFEITURE EXHIBIT 55 | 31 |
| 11 | FORFEITURE EXHIBIT 69 | 32 |
| 12 | FORFEITURE EXHIBIT 32 AND 67 | 36 |
| 13 | KENNER EXHIBITS F-2, F-3, F-4 IN EVIDENCE. | 67 |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

| | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|
| \$ | 172 [1] - 7:10 1751 [1] - 31:25 1753 [1] - 31:25 189 [1] - 34:16 19 [2] - 37:22, 48:5 191 [1] - 34:16 19617 [1] - 19:25 19th [1] - 47:4 1:03 [1] - 90:11 | 32 [12] - 32:20, 32:22, 32:24, 33:15, 33:16, 34:21, 35:1, 35:5, 36:9, 36:10, 92:11, 92:12 32-SEC [1] - 34:23 341 [1] - 2:2 35 [1] - 29:4 3500 [7] - 43:5, 43:7, 43:9, 45:24, 46:13, 67:5, 87:19 36 [4] - 6:16, 9:6, 9:8, 92:12 37 [1] - 91:9 375,000 [1] - 79:16 39 [5] - 44:19, 44:25, 45:7, 45:12, 53:12 | 58 [10] - 8:2, 8:3, 8:4, 8:7, 8:25, 9:4, 9:5, 9:7, 84:13, 92:2 | according [4] - 15:21, 34:7, 50:13, 50:14 account [18] - 7:11, 7:22, 7:24, 42:18, 53:6, 70:9, 70:14, 70:18, 70:22, 74:4, 74:10, 74:15, 75:19, 75:22, 75:23, 76:6, 77:5, 83:21 Account [1] - 23:16 accounts [14] - 7:8, 60:15, 63:11, 74:13, 75:14, 75:16, 75:20, 76:13, 76:18, 77:7, 79:24, 83:5, 83:21, 83:25 accuracy [5] - 7:2, 14:14, 15:8, 15:22, 29:23 accurate [2] - 15:10, 88:19 accusatory [1] - 69:17 acknowledge [1] - 60:8 acknowledged [1] - 60:25 acquired [3] - 44:25, 45:7, 45:12 acquiring [5] - 53:7, 53:12, 53:18, 56:6, 57:8 acquisition [1] - 60:10 action [1] - 41:18 activity [1] - 24:5 acts [1] - 42:16 add [5] - 65:6, 65:8, 89:15, 90:2, 90:3 addition [6] - 18:11, 26:3, 28:20, 31:16, 42:16, 64:3 additional [13] - 6:2, 6:9, 6:20, 19:13, 20:17, 20:25, 21:4, 21:6, 23:12, 38:13, 62:19, 64:4, 90:3 address [6] - 3:9, 11:11, 12:17, 57:11, 58:4, 62:7 addressed [1] - 58:14 addressing [1] - 3:8 admissibility [5] - 10:12, 16:5, 19:9, 19:18, 62:9 admissible [5] - 3:19, 11:22, 25:19, 29:13, 29:14 admissions [1] - 25:22 admit [2] - 22:6, 88:25 admitted [11] - 9:4, |
| 1 | 2 [9] - 11:6, 20:17, 21:3, 44:22, 48:12, 48:17, 50:19, 66:19, 73:18 2.4 [3] - 74:2, 74:15, 76:6 20 [1] - 44:14 2006 [1] - 45:8 2009 [1] - 66:9 2010 [3] - 29:17, 47:4, 48:5 2014 [1] - 44:14 2015 [6] - 20:10, 27:10, 38:11, 38:18, 38:21 2016 [2] - 1:9, 37:14 2101 [3] - 7:8, 7:24, 34:16 2102 [1] - 7:21 2110 [1] - 18:15 2133 [1] - 7:6 22 [3] - 31:19, 37:22, 92:5 23 [2] - 39:13, 81:25 24 [3] - 49:25, 81:12, 92:6 25,000 [1] - 83:2 2585 [1] - 35:20 26 [6] - 2:4, 50:18, 50:19, 80:15, 81:5, 92:7 26,000 [2] - 11:18, 11:20 27 [10] - 22:22, 22:25, 23:8, 24:19, 24:20, 24:21, 24:22, 82:16, 92:6, 92:8 28 [1] - 92:9 | 4 [4] - 16:2, 50:19, 66:10, 67:6 4.5 [1] - 77:15 40,000 [1] - 34:6 425 [1] - 1:23 43 [1] - 49:7 44 [7] - 13:15, 13:17, 14:4, 17:3, 17:5, 23:3, 92:4 45 [1] - 4:2 4511 [1] - 28:24 48 [1] - 91:11 49 [2] - 25:4, 25:13 4th [4] - 4:23, 86:24, 89:11, 90:6 | 631-712-6105 [1] - 2:8 67 [12] - 32:20, 32:22, 33:11, 33:13, 33:25, 34:22, 35:5, 35:8, 36:9, 36:10, 92:12, 92:13 69 [6] - 31:14, 32:5, 32:14, 32:18, 32:19, 92:11 | 7 [1] - 50:19 73 [1] - 91:13 |
| 5 | 25 [4] - 14:15, 16:2, 50:19, 91:2 5,000 [1] - 83:3 52 [7] - 25:1, 25:3, 25:7, 25:12, 26:14, 26:15, 92:7 526 [1] - 7:22 528 [1] - 7:22 54 [11] - 17:15, 17:21, 18:3, 18:18, 22:11, 22:16, 22:17, 23:2, 35:12, 84:13, 92:5 55 [5] - 28:10, 30:15, 30:17, 31:10, 92:10 56 [7] - 26:20, 27:2, 27:6, 27:8, 27:9, 84:13, 92:8 57 [11] - 17:15, 17:25, 18:6, 18:18, 22:11, 22:13, 22:16, 22:17, 23:3, 84:13, 92:5 572 [1] - 67:24 | 86 [1] - 91:15 | 9 [3] - 1:9, 57:3, 92:2 9.1 [2] - 78:21, 79:1 | A |
| 3 | 3 [6] - 48:18, 50:19, 66:19, 67:4, 67:6, 84:12 300 [1] - 2:1 302 [2] - 43:24, 64:13 31 [4] - 33:20, 84:13, 92:10 | a.m [4] - 1:10, 36:18, 36:19, 90:6 a/k/a [2] - 1:6, 1:8 abide [1] - 19:10 ability [1] - 12:14 able [9] - 4:19, 4:21, 15:22, 22:9, 41:8, 48:10, 48:11, 48:16, 63:15 absence [1] - 57:25 absolutely [2] - 89:13, 89:15 accept [1] - 21:19 access [2] - 4:20, 46:6 | | |

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| 12:21, 17:3, 22:16, 24:21, 27:6, 28:6, 30:18, 32:18, 67:6, 88:25 admitting [1] - 36:6 advance [6] - 18:23, 19:12, 20:3, 21:18, 29:7, 89:11 advantage [1] - 62:16 advisability [1] - 62:16 advisable [1] - 30:3 advise [1] - 48:22 afternoon [2] - 73:13, 73:14 agent [38] - 6:15, 8:2, 8:7, 9:6, 13:14, 13:17, 16:8, 22:21, 24:25, 25:23, 26:11, 27:9, 27:14, 27:17, 32:20, 37:11, 41:4, 47:7, 47:15, 47:22, 48:9, 55:15, 63:3, 63:4, 63:23, 64:8, 64:17, 66:13, 73:5, 73:13, 84:8, 84:22, 85:23, 87:11, 87:16, 87:19, 87:22 Agent [19] - 4:10, 4:11, 5:1, 5:2, 6:13, 6:18, 6:25, 9:10, 10:23, 13:7, 14:9, 17:8, 17:17, 26:18, 28:9, 31:13, 37:9, 46:24, 63:4 agents [4] - 21:14, 46:4, 47:23 ago [4] - 14:18, 41:25, 44:6, 66:15 agree [1] - 20:14 agreement [6] - 40:20, 61:17, 62:21, 74:16, 74:19, 83:16 agreements [2] - 74:23, 74:24 ahead [7] - 17:14, 22:11, 36:22, 41:11, 48:6, 59:16, 65:7 alerted [1] - 44:2 allegation [1] - 24:4 allegations [3] - 23:13, 23:20, 30:1 allege [1] - 23:12 alleged [4] - 23:18, 23:19, 24:1, 59:24 allow [8] - 22:10, 47:15, 47:19, 53:25, 64:5, 64:14, 67:10, 87:24 almost [1] - 71:20 AMERICA [1] - 1:3 | American [1] - 62:11 amount [10] - 7:14, 15:16, 53:1, 59:1, 59:3, 59:9, 68:9, 71:12, 74:2 Amount [1] - 15:18 amounts [4] - 16:23, 25:9, 57:5, 71:5 analysis [3] - 47:18, 83:20, 85:7 analyzed [4] - 77:5, 77:8, 79:24, 83:5 AND [3] - 92:3, 92:5, 92:12 ANDREW [1] - 2:4 Angeles [1] - 41:19 answer [5] - 42:14, 55:18, 79:11, 86:6 ANSWER [1] - 42:19 anticipate [1] - 87:9 anticipated [2] - 60:16, 62:23 Antinello [1] - 33:21 antinello [1] - 80:18 apologize [1] - 65:2 appear [1] - 84:20 appearance [1] - 2:15 APPEARANCES [1] - 1:14 appellate [1] - 52:1 applies [2] - 36:9, 87:21 April [3] - 86:24, 89:11, 90:6 Arbitration [1] - 62:12 argue [3] - 35:11, 54:24, 59:21 arguing [2] - 35:10, 57:20 argument [10] - 55:1, 57:13, 57:14, 58:15, 58:21, 59:4, 60:4, 61:14, 63:3, 65:16 arguments [4] - 58:13, 63:25, 64:2, 64:16 Atilio [6] - 7:18, 9:17, 9:18, 13:9, 13:13, 15:15 ASI [1] - 33:18 aside [1] - 59:3 aspect [2] - 30:24, 55:8 aspects [1] - 42:21 assets [2] - 42:17, 43:5 assignment [1] - 27:21 Assistant [1] - 1:19 associated [4] - 59:23, 61:22, 61:23, | 70:18 association [1] - 45:22 Association [1] - 62:12 assume [3] - 24:15, 26:12, 65:19, 67:21, 68:8, 68:14, 68:20, 72:23, 76:17 banking [1] - 60:14 banks [2] - 75:14, 76:13 barely [1] - 11:12 base [1] - 65:6 based [13] - 11:22, 12:1, 17:12, 22:5, 24:8, 39:20, 43:5, 51:4, 60:2, 70:8, 71:10, 71:15, 85:25 Bates [10] - 10:2, 19:24, 20:2, 21:23, 21:24, 22:1, 22:8, 29:5, 35:11, 35:23 became [2] - 61:1 become [1] - 61:6 becomes [1] - 10:21 BEFORE [1] - 1:11 began [1] - 19:7 begin [1] - 63:12 beginning [2] - 20:1, 21:1 believes [1] - 47:25 belonged [1] - 61:16 bench [1] - 37:3 Berard [4] - 25:8, 69:7, 69:11, 70:6 best [4] - 10:24, 49:21, 50:10, 52:3 better [3] - 21:14, 65:10, 77:4 Better [6] - 23:22, 54:21, 70:19, 72:3, 79:14, 79:17 between [10] - 11:4, 17:21, 19:4, 20:5, 23:24, 28:22, 33:17, 33:21, 62:2, 72:1 beyond [1] - 31:1 BIANCO [1] - 1:12 bit [1] - 61:2 blame [1] - 62:25 blatant [1] - 29:24 Bob [6] - 25:8, 25:11, 25:15, 25:24, 39:14, 82:6 Bobby [1] - 72:25 borrowed [1] - 45:4 borrowing [1] - 45:6 bottom [5] - 19:24, 21:24, 34:23, 48:17, 74:2 | 2 boxes [1] - 82:23 Brad's [1] - 76:4 break [2] - 36:16, 36:17 brief [1] - 14:5 briefs [1] - 58:14 bring [1] - 64:19 brought [1] - 87:12 Bryan [4] - 25:8, 69:7, 69:11, 70:6 burden [1] - 55:16 business [1] - 76:4 but.. [1] - 44:21 BY [27] - 1:17, 1:24, 6:12, 13:6, 14:8, 17:7, 22:20, 24:24, 26:17, 37:8, 48:8, 56:1, 57:2, 66:12, 67:12, 69:23, 71:21, 72:12, 73:12, 86:3, 91:4, 91:6, 91:8, 91:10, 91:12, 91:14, 91:16 | |
| C | | | | C-6304 [1] - 32:8 Cabo [34] - 44:20, 44:25, 45:8, 45:12, 49:15, 49:19, 51:2, 51:6, 51:11, 51:21, 52:2, 52:13, 52:21, 53:2, 53:7, 53:12, 53:19, 53:22, 54:15, 56:4, 56:6, 56:7, 57:22, 60:11, 61:16, 62:1, 63:7, 63:17, 77:13, 78:3, 81:3, 82:16, 83:9, 85:1 CALCAGNI [1] - 1:22 California [2] - 41:19, 88:7 cannot [4] - 25:23, 59:21, 61:24, 88:3 capable [1] - 55:15 Capital [2] - 23:15, 34:13 carry [1] - 59:5 case [10] - 2:13, 4:5, 4:8, 4:16, 4:24, 41:5, 64:11, 65:14, 69:16, 86:23 cases [1] - 58:9 Casino [1] - 27:23 category [1] - 86:6 CD [3] - 19:25, 38:13, 38:22 Central [4] - 1:5, 1:17, 2:8, 41:19 certain [5] - 16:14, |

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

| | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| <p>24:12, 58:9, 58:22, 88:15 certainly [2] - 58:6, 63:25 chair [1] - 6:6 chance [1] - 30:10 characterized [1] - 49:22 charged [3] - 66:2, 69:16, 79:20 Charles [1] - 8:9 CHART [1] - 92:6 Chart [13] - 22:22, 22:25, 23:8, 24:22, 31:19, 37:11, 39:9, 39:10, 42:2, 49:25, 50:18, 50:19, 52:12 chart [41] - 14:19, 15:9, 23:1, 23:10, 23:15, 24:20, 24:21, 37:15, 37:19, 37:23, 37:25, 38:5, 39:8, 39:13, 42:2, 51:12, 51:13, 51:15, 54:22, 57:7, 63:9, 66:19, 66:21, 66:22, 71:8, 71:10, 73:16, 80:15, 80:21, 81:5, 81:6, 81:12, 81:18, 81:25, 82:8, 82:16, 82:19, 82:23, 84:4 charts [6] - 39:4, 39:7, 39:12, 39:17, 43:3, 51:9 check [11] - 21:20, 22:7, 29:9, 31:9, 32:6, 32:7, 32:12, 32:13, 35:17, 36:6, 88:22 checks [2] - 32:2, 83:24 choice [1] - 86:12 circle [2] - 48:12, 48:13 circumstances [1] - 61:11 clear [2] - 19:24, 26:9 clearly [2] - 21:1, 87:16 CLERK [5] - 2:11, 2:13, 5:9, 5:14, 36:20 clerk [2] - 4:14, 6:8 client [4] - 4:12, 40:3, 65:5, 87:7 client's [4] - 4:19, 4:24, 12:14, 49:14 clients [6] - 24:5, 30:1, 50:2, 54:14, 54:19, 54:23</p> | <p>closing [1] - 34:14 CMD [2] - 23:7, 31:21 CMG [6] - 32:9, 32:11, 68:14, 72:19, 83:6, 83:11 co [3] - 58:24, 59:10, 59:12 co-mingled [2] - 58:24, 59:12 co-mingling [1] - 59:10 collected [1] - 38:16 column [26] - 14:9, 16:2, 16:6, 16:10, 16:16, 17:1, 17:4, 52:13, 52:15, 52:16, 52:20, 56:3, 57:3, 67:13, 69:5, 73:18, 73:21, 74:6, 75:3, 75:23, 78:5, 79:13, 81:20, 86:10 columns [6] - 15:18, 15:19, 15:21, 16:17, 57:5, 78:18 coming [14] - 17:22, 17:23, 18:13, 31:21, 50:1, 50:22, 72:24, 80:22, 81:13, 81:14, 87:10, 88:6, 90:4 commence [1] - 4:16 commenced [1] - 41:18 commencement [3] - 19:1, 19:5, 37:13 commingling [1] - 59:6 committed [4] - 23:25, 24:1, 62:3 communications [3] - 10:24, 19:4, 19:6 company [7] - 13:19, 18:2, 27:1, 77:16, 77:18, 77:19, 77:22 compare [1] - 30:21 compel [1] - 11:16 complaints [3] - 29:16, 29:20, 31:3 complete [3] - 66:9, 83:20 completed [2] - 19:16, 19:17 completeness [1] - 89:3 compliment [1] - 36:25 comply [1] - 11:16 computer [1] - 4:20 concede [1] - 12:20 concerned [2] - 16:22, 62:4</p> | <p>concerning [6] - 15:8, 39:18, 40:3, 48:4, 49:2, 86:5 conclude [1] - 4:8 concluded [1] - 90:11 conclusion [1] - 38:12 conclusions [2] - 47:18, 60:2 condo [1] - 27:21 conduct [6] - 14:5, 23:12, 66:2, 66:3 conducted [1] - 44:11 confers [1] - 10:18 confirm [1] - 34:15 confused [1] - 20:21 connected [2] - 39:19, 54:24 connection [4] - 41:16, 43:13, 72:22, 84:10 conscious [1] - 55:21 consider [5] - 16:25, 59:13, 64:15, 66:1, 89:24 consideration [2] - 52:1, 62:8 considered [2] - 24:1, 29:15 consignment [1] - 27:24 consist [1] - 10:9 consistent [2] - 3:11, 14:21 consists [1] - 66:8 conspiracy [2] - 59:13, 66:1 CONSTANTINE [1] - 1:7 Constantine [33] - 2:1, 2:14, 2:22, 2:24, 32:7, 45:23, 74:24, 75:5, 75:7, 75:10, 75:19, 76:2, 76:8, 76:10, 76:17, 76:22, 78:1, 78:15, 79:8, 79:17, 80:10, 81:6, 81:8, 81:17, 81:22, 82:7, 82:12, 82:24, 83:15, 83:19, 84:20, 84:23, 85:7 Constantine's [3] - 77:10, 80:6, 83:25 constituted [1] - 86:6 consulting [1] - 83:15 contained [10] - 13:23, 14:19, 15:21, 21:5, 29:24, 33:25, 37:24, 38:22, 39:17, 86:9 contains [3] - 14:9, 29:16, 66:25</p> | <p>context [2] - 24:4, 89:2 continuation [1] - 3:6 continue [3] - 3:16, 5:1, 55:24 CONTINUED [12] - 6:11, 13:5, 17:6, 22:19, 24:23, 26:16, 31:11, 48:7, 55:25, 91:3, 91:7, 91:11 continued [2] - 36:19, 56:13 continues [1] - 10:24 Continuing [1] - 57:1 contributions [1] - 24:6 Contributions [1] - 23:16 controlled [6] - 13:19, 51:5, 52:4, 70:10, 70:18, 70:22 Controlled [1] - 23:16 conversation [2] - 22:7, 28:18 conversations [3] - 28:20, 29:1, 75:10 conviction [2] - 42:4, 54:16 conway [1] - 73:10 Conway [4] - 2:22, 17:11, 24:15, 87:4 CONWAY [23] - 2:1, 2:3, 2:21, 9:3, 17:12, 19:22, 21:22, 22:14, 24:16, 26:3, 27:5, 30:7, 32:15, 35:7, 73:12, 85:16, 85:19, 87:2, 87:5, 88:1, 89:13, 90:7, 91:14 cooperation [2] - 11:4 copied [1] - 33:8 copies [2] - 5:20, 6:2 copy [6] - 33:7, 35:2, 37:3, 40:10, 41:15, 43:25 Corp [7] - 50:6, 50:11, 51:5, 60:9, 63:5, 67:1, 70:19 corporate [2] - 60:14, 60:15 correct [66] - 7:6, 7:22, 12:13, 14:2, 15:6, 16:24, 20:7, 27:25, 32:3, 32:4, 34:19, 39:25, 43:18, 45:9, 45:14, 49:23, 50:2, 50:4, 50:6, 50:8, 50:16, 50:24, 51:2, 51:11, 51:13, 52:4, 52:5, 52:18,</p> |
|--|---|---|---|

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

| | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| <p>34:23, 35:5, 35:19, 36:2, 36:6, 36:13, 36:16, 36:21, 37:5, 41:2, 41:11, 42:9, 42:24, 46:12, 46:16, 47:6, 47:12, 47:24, 48:6, 52:9, 53:24, 54:7, 55:1, 55:14, 55:23, 57:11, 58:15, 59:2, 59:16, 63:21, 64:24, 65:3, 65:16, 66:4, 67:6, 67:9, 69:22, 71:17, 72:7, 73:3, 73:9, 85:17, 85:21, 85:23, 86:1, 86:18, 86:22, 87:3, 87:21, 88:16, 88:19, 88:24, 89:8, 89:14, 89:21, 90:2, 90:6, 90:10</p> <p>court [3] - 6:18, 21:9, 52:1</p> <p>Court's [5] - 4:22, 19:10, 32:17, 36:24, 62:7</p> <p>Courthouse [1] - 1:4</p> <p>covered [3] - 42:10, 42:12, 52:9</p> <p>crazy [1] - 47:8</p> <p>creation [1] - 42:1</p> <p>credit [27] - 7:3, 7:5, 39:13, 42:18, 50:2, 50:22, 51:10, 62:17, 70:24, 70:25, 71:4, 71:6, 71:9, 71:11, 72:16, 72:24, 74:7, 74:9, 76:13, 76:15, 76:21, 79:23, 80:1, 80:3, 80:11, 82:5, 82:14</p> <p>credited [1] - 53:6</p> <p>credits [1] - 74:13</p> <p>criminal [8] - 23:4, 28:21, 30:20, 31:24, 38:7, 43:8, 65:9, 84:5</p> <p>CROSS [8] - 37:7, 48:7, 55:25, 57:1, 73:11, 91:9, 91:11, 91:13</p> <p>cross [7] - 4:11, 26:10, 26:12, 29:23, 36:17, 46:17</p> <p>CROSS-</p> <p>EXAMINATION [6] - 48:7, 55:25, 57:1, 73:11, 91:11, 91:13</p> <p>cross-examination [2] - - 26:10, 36:17</p> <p>CRR [1] - 2:7</p> | <p>CSL [3] - 23:15, 23:17, 24:6</p> <p>curious [1] - 69:15</p> <p>cursor [1] - 21:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <p>date [4] - 4:14, 4:15, 19:17, 31:16</p> <p>Date [1] - 15:18</p> <p>DDM [3] - 34:9, 34:19</p> <p>deal [3] - 4:21, 4:22, 73:22</p> <p>dealing [2] - 26:5, 79:8</p> <p>dealings [2] - 75:6, 75:7</p> <p>deals [1] - 75:5</p> <p>December [7] - 20:9, 20:24, 21:4, 38:11, 38:17, 38:21</p> <p>decide [1] - 3:21</p> <p>decided [2] - 4:5, 24:9</p> <p>decision [4] - 6:19, 25:5, 53:21, 55:21</p> <p>Defendant [2] - 1:22, 2:1</p> <p>defendant [3] - 3:2, 29:22, 35:25</p> <p>defendant's [2] - 16:19, 36:5</p> <p>defendants [4] - 3:5, 20:22, 36:4, 58:10</p> <p>Defendants [1] - 1:9</p> <p>defense [16] - 4:4, 4:5, 4:16, 19:11, 19:19, 20:1, 25:21, 29:22, 35:18, 38:17, 43:11, 43:14, 49:7, 60:7, 61:18, 86:23</p> <p>reference [1] - 46:18</p> <p>defrauded [3] - 54:18, 58:18, 58:20</p> <p>del [5] - 32:25, 34:3, 34:5, 54:15, 55:21</p> <p>Del [11] - 57:3, 57:7, 57:9, 57:22, 60:11, 63:7, 63:18, 78:18, 81:15, 84:24, 84:25</p> <p>delay [1] - 88:14</p> <p>demonstrate [2] - 29:25, 61:11</p> <p>deposit [2] - 52:25, 75:23</p> <p>deposited [3] - 56:5, 71:11, 76:20</p> <p>deposition [2] - 40:14, 67:9</p> <p>deposits [6] - 9:17, 13:13, 13:24, 16:19,</p> | <p>16:20, 18:13</p> <p>deputy [1] - 86:22</p> <p>derogation [1] - 18:22</p> <p>describe [5] - 13:7, 22:25, 25:7, 67:19, 79:7</p> <p>designation [1] - 35:4</p> <p>desk [1] - 5:19</p> <p>detecting [1] - 29:5</p> <p>determination [3] - 23:23, 59:22, 60:1</p> <p>determinations [2] - 43:3, 61:13</p> <p>determine [3] - 29:13, 59:2, 59:7</p> <p>determining [2] - 59:1, 72:1</p> <p>develop [3] - 62:19, 63:15, 64:1</p> <p>developed [1] - 61:15</p> <p>developing [1] - 51:6</p> <p>Development [16] - 18:13, 23:5, 23:21, 24:6, 40:4, 50:6, 50:11, 51:5, 54:19, 54:24, 60:9, 63:5, 63:10, 67:1, 81:15, 82:21</p> <p>development [7] - 49:15, 60:12, 60:22, 63:6, 63:17, 70:23, 72:2</p> <p>DeVries [11] - 41:17, 52:25, 53:17, 53:21, 53:24, 55:20, 69:24, 70:2, 70:7</p> <p>DeVries' [1] - 53:21</p> <p>Diamante [49] - 32:25, 34:3, 34:5, 44:20, 44:25, 45:8, 45:12, 49:15, 50:7, 50:8, 51:2, 51:11, 51:21, 52:2, 52:13, 52:21, 53:2, 53:7, 53:12, 53:19, 53:22, 54:15, 55:21, 56:3, 56:5, 56:7, 57:3, 57:7, 57:9, 57:22, 60:10, 60:11, 61:16, 61:25, 63:7, 63:17, 77:13, 78:2, 78:18, 81:3, 81:4, 81:16, 83:9, 84:24, 84:25</p> <p>Diane [1] - 2:17</p> <p>DIANE [1] - 1:17</p> <p>different [6] - 22:2, 22:8, 51:19, 51:25, 60:2, 82:20</p> <p>difficulty [2] - 4:18, 4:19</p> | <p>dire [2] - 14:5, 37:18</p> <p>DIRE [2] - 14:7, 91:5</p> <p>DIRECT [9] - 6:11, 13:5, 17:6, 22:19, 24:23, 26:16, 31:11, 31:17, 31:21, 31:25, 31:28, 32:1, 32:21, 32:24, 32:25, 33:1, 33:2, 33:24, 33:25, 33:27, 34:1, 34:21, 34:23, 34:24, 34:25, 34:26, 34:27, 34:28, 34:29, 34:30, 34:31, 34:32, 34:33, 34:34, 34:35, 34:36, 34:37, 34:38, 34:39, 34:40, 34:41, 34:42, 34:43, 34:44, 34:45, 34:46, 34:47, 34:48, 34:49, 34:50, 34:51, 34:52, 34:53, 34:54, 34:55, 34:56, 34:57, 34:58, 34:59, 34:60, 34:61, 34:62, 34:63, 34:64, 34:65, 34:66, 34:67, 34:68, 34:69, 34:70, 34:71, 34:72, 34:73, 34:74, 34:75, 34:76, 34:77, 34:78, 34:79, 34:80, 34:81, 34:82, 34:83, 34:84, 34:85, 34:86, 34:87, 34:88, 34:89, 34:90, 34:91, 34:92, 34:93, 34:94, 34:95, 34:96, 34:97, 34:98, 34:99, 34:100, 34:101, 34:102, 34:103, 34:104, 34:105, 34:106, 34:107, 34:108, 34:109, 34:110, 34:111, 34:112, 34:113, 34:114, 34:115, 34:116, 34:117, 34:118, 34:119, 34:120, 34:121, 34:122, 34:123, 34:124, 34:125, 34:126, 34:127, 34:128, 34:129, 34:130, 34:131, 34:132, 34:133, 34:134, 34:135, 34:136, 34:137, 34:138, 34:139, 34:140, 34:141, 34:142, 34:143, 34:144, 34:145, 34:146, 34:147, 34:148, 34:149, 34:150, 34:151, 34:152, 34:153, 34:154, 34:155, 34:156, 34:157, 34:158, 34:159, 34:160, 34:161, 34:162, 34:163, 34:164, 34:165, 34:166, 34:167, 34:168, 34:169, 34:170, 34:171, 34:172, 34:173, 34:174, 34:175, 34:176, 34:177, 34:178, 34:179, 34:180, 34:181, 34:182, 34:183, 34:184, 34:185, 34:186, 34:187, 34:188, 34:189, 34:190, 34:191, 34:192, 34:193, 34:194, 34:195, 34:196, 34:197, 34:198, 34:199, 34:200, 34:201, 34:202, 34:203, 34:204, 34:205, 34:206, 34:207, 34:208, 34:209, 34:210, 34:211, 34:212, 34:213, 34:214, 34:215, 34:216, 34:217, 34:218, 34:219, 34:220, 34:221, 34:222, 34:223, 34:224, 34:225, 34:226, 34:227, 34:228, 34:229, 34:230, 34:231, 34:232, 34:233, 34:234, 34:235, 34:236, 34:237, 34:238, 34:239, 3</p> |
|---|--|---|---|

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

| | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| <p>66:24 Global [7] - 23:21, 42:18, 43:4, 54:20, 70:15, 72:3, 72:9 government [64] - 3:17, 3:25, 4:7, 4:15, 8:12, 9:21, 10:23, 11:4, 12:8, 12:24, 15:7, 16:15, 18:4, 18:7, 19:4, 19:8, 19:11, 19:13, 19:15, 21:5, 22:8, 23:11, 23:25, 25:10, 25:14, 26:25, 27:22, 28:15, 28:17, 30:25, 31:6, 33:1, 35:17, 38:12, 40:11, 40:22, 41:6, 42:3, 42:14, 43:12, 43:17, 46:24, 47:13, 47:15, 47:25, 54:12, 55:4, 58:16, 59:21, 61:24, 64:9, 66:7, 68:24, 86:19, 86:20, 87:10, 87:18, 88:9, 88:11, 88:13, 88:16, 89:1, 89:16 Government [6] - 1:15, 7:5, 14:9, 27:10, 28:24, 87:6 government's [13] - 3:8, 12:18, 18:21, 19:20, 21:11, 21:16, 22:5, 29:8, 46:16, 46:18, 47:12, 55:16, 64:10 Grand [3] - 60:24, 61:2, 89:19 great [1] - 4:25 greater [1] - 62:18 Greg [11] - 41:16, 52:25, 53:17, 53:20, 53:21, 55:20, 69:24, 70:2, 70:7 gross [1] - 21:10 Group [3] - 32:7, 82:24, 83:19 GSF [1] - 72:6 guess [3] - 21:11, 22:4, 86:14</p> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <p>Haley [12] - 3:2, 3:10, 10:18, 11:24, 36:22, 46:12, 46:19, 47:6, 47:13, 57:20, 71:18, 87:21 HALEY [94] - 1:22, 1:24, 3:2, 4:7, 4:10, 5:15, 5:18, 5:21,</p> | <p>5:23, 6:4, 6:7, 8:4, 9:2, 10:6, 10:8, 10:11, 10:21, 12:3, 13:2, 14:5, 14:8, 16:5, 17:2, 18:19, 20:8, 21:21, 22:15, 23:10, 25:18, 27:3, 28:4, 29:5, 29:10, 30:24, 31:5, 32:16, 35:3, 35:6, 36:23, 36:12, 36:14, 37:2, 38:1, 39:17, 41:25, 46:20, 47:18, 48:1, 48:4, 48:21, 48:23, 49:14, 49:18, 50:2, 51:1, 52:8, 53:23, 54:11, 55:8, 56:3, 57:5, 57:8, 58:1, 59:17, 60:23, 62:5, 63:5, 64:10, 65:8, 66:14, 67:7, 68:21, 69:21, 71:19, 85:19, 85:22, 85:24, 86:20, 87:1, 87:2, 87:5, 88:1, 88:4, 88:21, 89:10, 90:7, 90:9 Haley's [2] - 19:22, 46:17 half [3] - 4:2, 40:14, 78:10 half-hour [1] - 4:2 Handing [1] - 44:7 handwriting [1] - 48:14 handwritten [4] - 48:3, 48:11, 48:17 happy [1] - 55:12 Harvey [4] - 11:1, 15:6, 39:24, 41:15 Hawaii [34] - 23:20, 33:24, 49:3, 49:4, 49:5, 49:14, 49:18, 54:19, 54:24, 57:17, 57:18, 57:23, 59:8, 60:9, 60:14, 60:21, 61:16, 62:13, 62:19, 63:5, 63:10, 72:9, 73:19, 73:21, 73:22, 73:24, 74:1, 74:3, 74:13, 74:15, 75:3, 75:22, 76:6, 79:4 Hawaiian [9] - 63:16, 70:23, 70:24, 70:25, 72:2, 74:10, 74:12, 76:1, 76:6 headed [1] - 47:21 hear [2] - 11:21, 49:13</p> | <p>hearing [20] - 3:12, 3:22, 4:13, 19:3, 19:6, 20:5, 22:2, 22:23, 23:11, 23:24, 24:3, 24:8, 24:10, 25:20, 25:22, 31:7, 31:17, 39:5, 54:13, 89:11 Hearing [1] - 1:7 hearsay [9] - 3:14, 25:18, 25:19, 25:22, 26:7, 29:12, 29:14, 46:18, 47:13 helpful [1] - 35:15 Highway [1] - 1:23 history [2] - 7:3, 7:5 hockey [12] - 24:5, 30:1, 49:14, 50:2, 54:14, 54:18, 55:6, 57:17, 57:21, 58:1, 61:9, 61:20 home [3] - 8:14, 8:20, 85:10 Home [1] - 8:17 Honor [77] - 2:19, 3:1, 4:1, 4:7, 5:18, 5:25, 6:8, 8:22, 9:3, 10:4, 10:8, 10:22, 11:14, 13:1, 13:2, 14:4, 16:18, 17:12, 18:17, 19:12, 19:23, 20:7, 20:15, 21:2, 23:8, 24:17, 24:20, 25:12, 25:18, 26:3, 27:5, 28:2, 29:4, 30:7, 30:16, 34:21, 34:25, 35:22, 36:1, 36:12, 36:14, 37:2, 40:12, 42:5, 43:25, 46:11, 47:9, 47:20, 52:8, 53:23, 54:11, 58:3, 58:5, 59:17, 60:23, 62:5, 65:8, 65:14, 65:24, 67:7, 69:21, 71:19, 85:19, 85:22, 85:24, 86:20, 87:1, 87:2, 87:5, 88:1, 88:4, 88:21, 89:10, 90:7, 90:9 Honor's [1] - 23:19 HONORABLE [1] - 1:12 hopeful [1] - 4:23 hopefully [1] - 63:22 Hormovitis [1] - 1:8 hour [1] - 4:2 hundred [1] - 53:4</p> | <p>I</p> <p>id [1] - 62:3 idea [1] - 62:20 identification [2] - 40:7, 40:24 identify [3] - 38:20, 42:17, 54:13 illustrating [1] - 81:13 imagine [1] - 75:13 important [1] - 47:21 IN [1] - 92:13 include [2] - 43:16, 71:4 included [3] - 39:15, 71:5, 71:9 including [1] - 66:2 inconsistent [4] - 41:4, 46:23, 47:17, 64:10 indeed [7] - 12:19, 47:20, 50:18, 60:1, 60:23, 62:22, 63:19 indicate [5] - 33:5, 34:9, 38:4, 82:24, 89:16 indicated [2] - 14:18, 15:18 indictment [8] - 23:13, 23:19, 42:8, 42:10, 42:12, 42:21, 59:24, 79:20 individual [5] - 50:23, 51:1, 60:19, 71:11, 80:23 individuals [5] - 56:5, 56:6, 57:7, 57:8, 68:25 induced [1] - 69:2 information [12] - 12:11, 13:23, 13:24, 14:18, 15:21, 15:23, 37:18, 37:24, 38:1, 39:17, 41:25, 46:20 informed [1] - 80:9 initial [1] - 76:5 inquiry [3] - 11:5, 37:17, 71:22 insofar [1] - 25:18 instances [4] - 19:13, 19:14, 23:7, 73:25 instrument [1] - 69:17 intend [2] - 87:3, 89:12 intended [6] - 73:24, 78:13, 86:7, 86:11, 86:12, 86:15 intends [1] - 43:17 intent [2] - 4:12, 61:12 intention [2] - 3:11,</p> |
| | | | 89:18 intercession [1] - 4:23 interest [15] - 44:19, 44:25, 45:8, 53:2, 53:7, 53:12, 53:18, 53:22, 56:7, 57:9, 61:20, 61:25, 62:21, 84:24, 85:4 interrupted [1] - 65:1 interview [8] - 44:9, 44:11, 44:13, 44:15, 44:18, 47:5, 47:11, 48:4 interviewed [1] - 47:7 interviews [2] - 43:17, 54:1 introduce [6] - 24:2, 41:5, 64:14, 89:1, 89:12, 89:19 introduced [12] - 5:23, 6:2, 31:19, 37:15, 39:4, 49:7, 61:17, 66:14, 71:24, 72:21, 84:8, 89:17 introducing [2] - 16:15, 30:25 invest [9] - 53:21, 55:21, 74:20, 78:2, 78:13, 78:16, 79:9, 82:13, 86:7 invested [9] - 57:17, 67:23, 75:3, 77:22, 78:11, 78:13, 85:8, 86:7, 86:11 investigation [13] - 12:7, 12:10, 26:1, 26:2, 42:22, 43:3, 43:13, 45:23, 45:25, 46:2, 46:9, 70:9, 77:6 investigator [1] - 69:16 investment [22] - 33:24, 53:1, 53:18, 55:7, 55:9, 55:11, 56:7, 57:9, 57:18, 58:11, 58:18, 58:19, 58:23, 60:21, 62:24, 69:3, 70:2, 70:6, 79:16, 79:18, 85:11 investments [23] - 29:18, 30:3, 30:4, 30:5, 33:23, 49:23, 54:13, 54:22, 57:23, 58:7, 70:5, 74:3, 75:11, 79:3, 79:5, 79:6, 81:10, 81:23, 82:1, 82:2, 82:4, 86:15 investor [2] - 16:19, |

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

| | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 62:12 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| investors [18] - 25:9, 60:19, 68:25, 74:19, 74:23, 75:2, 77:22, 77:24, 78:10, 78:11, 78:25, 79:7, 80:22, 81:8, 81:14, 82:1, 82:10, 82:20 | JOSEPH [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| involved [4] - 23:20, 47:11, 58:8, 59:14 | Joseph [3] - 2:22, 2:23, 56:9 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| involvement [3] - 75:10, 80:10, 81:22 | Joshua [3] - 4:11, 5:11, 63:4 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| irrelevant [1] - 42:12 | JOSHUA [1] - 5:12 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Islandia [1] - 1:24 | Jowdy [41] - 9:16, 10:25, 11:2, 11:5, 11:6, 12:6, 12:9, 12:12, 13:12, 13:19, 15:5, 16:20, 17:22, 17:24, 18:2, 33:17, 36:2, 41:17, 44:10, 44:15, 44:18, 44:24, 45:11, 47:7, 49:3, 49:14, 51:5, 52:4, 52:6, 53:5, 53:15, 53:16, 53:18, 60:16, 61:1, 61:9, 61:16, 62:18, 62:23, 62:25, 78:23 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Isle [29] - 7:3, 7:4, 7:8, 7:10, 7:15, 7:19, 7:24, 13:19, 27:11, 34:2, 34:15, 40:4, 50:4, 50:11, 50:23, 51:10, 70:25, 72:23, 72:25, 74:17, 75:11, 75:16, 80:17, 81:13, 81:14, 82:1, 82:4 | Jowdy's [16] - 12:23, 13:20, 15:4, 18:5, 19:5, 28:16, 33:2, 33:7, 33:9, 39:24, 40:2, 41:3, 41:15, 66:23, 66:24, 77:19 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Islip [3] - 1:5, 1:17, 2:8 | Jozef [4] - 7:17, 45:4, 45:7, 45:14 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| issue [21] - 10:21, 11:11, 12:14, 12:16, 23:23, 24:9, 24:18, 26:11, 27:7, 30:14, 31:2, 46:21, 57:12, 59:3, 59:4, 59:6, 59:18, 61:8, 61:9, 61:10, 88:14 | Judge [23] - 1:12, 2:21, 3:3, 6:4, 10:12, 10:16, 11:3, 11:9, 12:3, 14:5, 16:6, 18:20, 20:8, 21:8, 21:13, 29:11, 35:7, 36:23, 40:11, 42:20, 48:2, 54:17, 85:16 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| issues [4] - 3:8, 3:13, 3:21 | judge [28] - 4:17, 5:15, 10:6, 10:21, 12:13, 21:22, 25:21, 29:5, 30:24, 35:6, 36:24, 41:9, 42:13, 60:4, 61:14, 62:4, 63:2, 63:11, 63:14, 64:21, 66:5, 66:9, 73:2, 73:8, 86:17, 89:5, 89:15, 90:8 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Italian [1] - 80:20 | Jury [3] - 60:24, 61:2, 89:19 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| items [3] - 24:12, 55:4, 90:3 | jury [4] - 59:22, 65:15, 65:16, 65:22 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| itself [3] - 10:22, 39:8, 59:22 | K | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| IV [8] - 27:11, 40:4, 50:4, 50:11, 50:23, 51:10, 74:17, 75:11 | Kaiser [12] - 46:15, 46:23, 47:7, 48:4, 49:1, 52:7, 62:10, 65:10, 66:8, 67:16, 67:21, 67:22 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| J | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jay [4] - 67:24, 68:3, 68:4, 68:19 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jerry [7] - 45:5, 45:6, 45:13, 53:4, 53:6, 53:10, 56:8 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JFB [1] - 1:3 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JK [1] - 48:12 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JMT [6] - 27:21, 27:25, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:1 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| John [8] - 46:15, 48:4, 49:1, 52:7, 62:10, 66:8, 67:21, 67:22 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| join [2] - 19:22, 30:7 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| joining [1] - 24:16 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| J | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jay [4] - 67:24, 68:3, 68:4, 68:19 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jerry [7] - 45:5, 45:6, 45:13, 53:4, 53:6, 53:10, 56:8 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JFB [1] - 1:3 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JK [1] - 48:12 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JMT [6] - 27:21, 27:25, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:1 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| John [8] - 46:15, 48:4, 49:1, 52:7, 62:10, 66:8, 67:21, 67:22 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| join [2] - 19:22, 30:7 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| joining [1] - 24:16 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| J | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jay [4] - 67:24, 68:3, 68:4, 68:19 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jerry [7] - 45:5, 45:6, 45:13, 53:4, 53:6, 53:10, 56:8 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JFB [1] - 1:3 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JK [1] - 48:12 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JMT [6] - 27:21, 27:25, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:1 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| John [8] - 46:15, 48:4, 49:1, 52:7, 62:10, 66:8, 67:21, 67:22 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| join [2] - 19:22, 30:7 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| joining [1] - 24:16 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| J | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jay [4] - 67:24, 68:3, 68:4, 68:19 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jerry [7] - 45:5, 45:6, 45:13, 53:4, 53:6, 53:10, 56:8 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JFB [1] - 1:3 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JK [1] - 48:12 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JMT [6] - 27:21, 27:25, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:1 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| John [8] - 46:15, 48:4, 49:1, 52:7, 62:10, 66:8, 67:21, 67:22 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| join [2] - 19:22, 30:7 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| joining [1] - 24:16 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| J | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jay [4] - 67:24, 68:3, 68:4, 68:19 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jerry [7] - 45:5, 45:6, 45:13, 53:4, 53:6, 53:10, 56:8 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JFB [1] - 1:3 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JK [1] - 48:12 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JMT [6] - 27:21, 27:25, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:1 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| John [8] - 46:15, 48:4, 49:1, 52:7, 62:10, 66:8, 67:21, 67:22 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| join [2] - 19:22, 30:7 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| joining [1] - 24:16 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| J | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jay [4] - 67:24, 68:3, 68:4, 68:19 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jerry [7] - 45:5, 45:6, 45:13, 53:4, 53:6, 53:10, 56:8 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JFB [1] - 1:3 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JK [1] - 48:12 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JMT [6] - 27:21, 27:25, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:1 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| John [8] - 46:15, 48:4, 49:1, 52:7, 62:10, 66:8, 67:21, 67:22 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| join [2] - 19:22, 30:7 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| joining [1] - 24:16 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| J | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jay [4] - 67:24, 68:3, 68:4, 68:19 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jerry [7] - 45:5, 45:6, 45:13, 53:4, 53:6, 53:10, 56:8 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JFB [1] - 1:3 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JK [1] - 48:12 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JMT [6] - 27:21, 27:25, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:1 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| John [8] - 46:15, 48:4, 49:1, 52:7, 62:10, 66:8, 67:21, 67:22 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| join [2] - 19:22, 30:7 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| joining [1] - 24:16 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| J | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jay [4] - 67:24, 68:3, 68:4, 68:19 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jerry [7] - 45:5, 45:6, 45:13, 53:4, 53:6, 53:10, 56:8 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JFB [1] - 1:3 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JK [1] - 48:12 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JMT [6] - 27:21, 27:25, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:1 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| John [8] - 46:15, 48:4, 49:1, 52:7, 62:10, 66:8, 67:21, 67:22 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| join [2] - 19:22, 30:7 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| joining [1] - 24:16 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| J | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jay [4] - 67:24, 68:3, 68:4, 68:19 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jerry [7] - 45:5, 45:6, 45:13, 53:4, 53:6, 53:10, 56:8 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JFB [1] - 1:3 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JK [1] - 48:12 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JMT [6] - 27:21, 27:25, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:1 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| John [8] - 46:15, 48:4, 49:1, 52:7, 62:10, 66:8, 67:21, 67:22 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| join [2] - 19:22, 30:7 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| joining [1] - 24:16 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| J | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jay [4] - 67:24, 68:3, 68:4, 68:19 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| Jerry [7] - 45:5, 45:6, 45:13, 53:4, 53:6, 53:10, 56:8 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JFB [1] - 1:3 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JK [1] - 48:12 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| JMT [6] - 27:21, 27:25, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:1 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| John [8] - 46:15, 48:4, 49:1, 52:7, 62:10, 66:8, 67:21, 67:22 | | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 | KAI [2] - 1:12, 2:3 |
| join [2] - 19:22, 30:7 | | KAI [2] - | |

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| <p>looking [17] - 8:7, 8:10, 8:12, 9:6, 13:17, 17:17, 18:3, 18:11, 22:25, 25:3, 27:17, 32:5, 32:20, 32:24, 33:25, 34:9, 86:23</p> <p>looks [2] - 10:19, 23:25</p> <p>LORETTA [1] - 1:15</p> <p>Los [5] - 41:19, 85:3, 85:4, 85:11, 85:14</p> <p>lose [1] - 36:24</p> <p>loss [5] - 68:3, 68:4, 68:6, 68:18, 68:20</p> <p>lousy [1] - 80:20</p> <p>Lucas [34] - 44:20, 45:1, 45:8, 45:13, 49:16, 49:19, 51:2, 51:6, 51:11, 51:21, 52:2, 52:13, 52:22, 53:2, 53:7, 53:12, 53:19, 53:22, 54:15, 56:4, 56:6, 56:7, 57:22, 60:11, 61:16, 62:1, 63:7, 63:17, 77:13, 78:3, 81:3, 82:16, 83:10, 85:1</p> <p>LYNCH [1] - 1:15</p> | <p>57:22, 60:11, 63:7, 63:18, 78:18, 84:24, 84:25</p> <p>March [1] - 1:9</p> <p>marked [18] - 6:16, 9:5, 10:1, 11:17, 13:3, 17:5, 22:17, 24:22, 25:3, 26:15, 27:8, 28:8, 31:10, 32:19, 36:10, 40:7, 40:24, 45:16</p> <p>marking [1] - 35:19</p> <p>material [11] - 19:1, 19:11, 38:13, 43:6, 43:9, 45:24, 46:13, 53:20, 55:19, 87:20, 88:12</p> <p>materials [1] - 67:5</p> <p>Matt [3] - 44:12, 48:3, 49:2</p> <p>matter [10] - 4:16, 23:14, 24:2, 29:14, 36:19, 41:16, 50:14, 56:13, 59:10, 88:4</p> <p>matters [6] - 30:11, 30:13, 31:3, 54:14, 59:18, 87:16</p> <p>McKee [7] - 29:16, 29:23, 30:9, 30:19, 67:24, 68:19, 72:17</p> <p>McKee's [2] - 68:3, 68:4</p> <p>MDC [1] - 4:19</p> <p>mean [2] - 9:23, 47:8</p> <p>meaning [2] - 39:9, 68:25</p> <p>meant [2] - 38:6, 86:15</p> <p>memo [1] - 32:8</p> <p>Memorial [1] - 1:23</p> <p>memory [2] - 19:12, 68:23</p> <p>mention [3] - 62:5, 81:5, 81:8</p> <p>mentioned [2] - 49:19, 81:17</p> <p>messages [3] - 28:22, 30:18, 30:23</p> <p>met [1] - 3:7</p> <p>Mexican [1] - 60:22</p> <p>Mexico [7] - 49:4, 49:5, 49:18, 57:18, 60:21, 60:25</p> <p>Michael [3] - 31:17, 68:16, 68:18</p> <p>middle [1] - 3:25</p> <p>might [11] - 11:7, 39:14, 57:24, 58:5, 61:3, 62:7, 84:5, 87:11, 87:13, 88:13,</p> | <p>89:15</p> <p>Mike [1] - 17:25</p> <p>Mill [4] - 26:19, 26:23, 27:12, 76:24</p> <p>million [12] - 20:17, 21:3, 53:9, 56:10, 62:13, 67:24, 74:2, 76:7, 77:8, 78:1</p> <p>Mineola [1] - 2:2</p> <p>mingled [2] - 58:24, 59:12</p> <p>mingling [1] - 59:10</p> <p>minute [1] - 36:17</p> <p>minutes [1] - 4:2</p> <p>misrepresentation [5] - 54:2, 55:6, 55:11, 55:20, 58:17</p> <p>misrepresentations [1] - 53:20</p> <p>misrepresenting [1] - 48:1</p> <p>missed [1] - 79:11</p> <p>misspoke [1] - 33:9</p> <p>mistake [1] - 62:15</p> <p>moment [9] - 4:12, 10:6, 10:16, 14:18, 30:23, 36:12, 41:25, 44:6, 73:2</p> <p>money [89] - 7:17, 7:18, 9:17, 9:19, 13:9, 13:18, 17:22, 17:23, 17:24, 18:1, 18:2, 23:5, 30:4, 30:5, 33:18, 34:2, 39:14, 42:18, 45:4, 45:6, 45:13, 49:13, 49:14, 49:17, 49:18, 50:22, 51:9, 53:5, 54:3, 55:5, 57:6, 57:16, 58:6, 58:8, 58:23, 59:7, 59:13, 59:14, 60:8, 61:15, 62:17, 63:4, 63:5, 63:10, 63:11, 63:12, 63:16, 66:1, 66:3, 68:13, 70:11, 70:14, 70:24, 71:2, 72:8, 72:14, 72:16, 72:22, 72:24, 74:9, 74:20, 75:3, 75:22, 76:4, 76:10, 77:22, 77:24, 78:2, 78:11, 78:16, 79:3, 80:1, 80:2, 81:13, 81:14, 82:13, 82:20, 82:24, 83:6, 83:8, 83:11, 85:4, 85:8, 86:13</p> <p>monies [26] - 49:22, 50:1, 50:10, 50:11,</p> | 91:6, 91:10, 91:12, 91:14, 91:16 8 MS [74] - 2:17, 4:1, 5:13, 5:17, 5:22, 5:25, 6:8, 6:12, 8:5, 8:22, 8:25, 10:4, 11:14, 13:1, 13:6, 14:4, 16:18, 16:24, 17:7, 18:17, 19:23, 20:7, 20:12, 20:15, 20:23, 22:12, 22:20, 23:8, 24:20, 24:24, 25:12, 26:17, 27:2, 28:2, 29:4, 29:9, 30:16, 31:9, 31:12, 32:14, 33:13, 33:15, 34:21, 34:25, 35:22, 36:3, 36:12, 36:14, 40:12, 42:5, 43:25, 44:4, 46:11, 47:1, 47:9, 52:8, 53:23, 58:3, 58:5, 58:22, 59:11, 65:8, 65:24, 66:7, 66:12, 67:3, 67:7, 67:11, 67:12, 69:23, 71:19, 71:21, 72:12, 73:2, 73:5, 73:7, 73:12, 85:16, 85:19, 85:24, 86:3, 86:17, 87:1, 87:2, 87:5, 88:1, 88:4, 88:18, 88:23, 89:5, 89:9, 89:13, 89:15, 90:1, 90:5, 90:7, 90:8, |
| <p>Management [4] - 31:22, 32:7, 82:24, 83:19</p> <p>Mantell [1] - 2:18</p> <p>MANTELL [1] - 1:18</p> <p>Mar [15] - 32:25, 34:4, 34:5, 54:15, 55:21, 57:3, 57:8, 57:9,</p> | <p>Naalehu [3] - 77:2, 77:3, 77:7</p> <p>name [5] - 5:9, 77:10, 80:7, 80:18, 84:19</p> <p>named [2] - 81:17, 82:7</p> <p>namely [1] - 27:10</p> <p>nature [7] - 14:16, 19:8, 21:7, 25:19, 37:20, 40:3, 80:3</p> <p>necessary [1] - 63:14</p> <p>need [8] - 5:13, 7:14, 11:21, 42:9, 44:16, 63:23, 64:7, 88:2</p> <p>needed [1] - 19:13</p> <p>never [3] - 21:23, 62:25, 86:14</p> <p>NEW [1] - 1:1</p> <p>new [3] - 8:5, 9:10, 31:7</p> <p>New [6] - 1:5, 1:17, 1:24, 2:2, 2:5, 2:8</p> <p>next [4] - 7:13, 56:13, 69:5, 73:21</p> <p>nexus [4] - 23:24, 62:2, 62:4, 72:1</p> <p>Nolan [3] - 71:3,</p> | | |

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 72:24, 82:10 none [1] - 81:8 Northern [3] - 76:18, 79:24, 80:11 northern [1] - 78:19 notation [1] - 67:16 notations [1] - 66:25 noted [1] - 24:14 Notes [2] - 14:10, 16:2 notes [9] - 16:7, 16:16, 16:25, 17:3, 43:16, 48:3, 48:11, 48:17, 48:25 nothing [2] - 79:17, 85:20 notice [2] - 87:18, 89:20 Number [2] - 7:21, 88:5 number [9] - 8:24, 30:2, 32:13, 32:21, 33:12, 35:9, 35:11, 40:15, 80:15 numbered [1] - 11:18 numbering [1] - 35:24 numbers [7] - 9:7, 10:2, 13:24, 20:2, 33:5, 72:4, 72:5 numerous [1] - 75:14 | obligor [1] - 63:12 obtain [6] - 8:12, 9:21, 27:22, 33:1, 40:21, 53:22 obtained [2] - 42:1, 44:19 obtaining [2] - 53:1, 88:15 obviously [14] - 3:15, 3:21, 11:24, 21:20, 22:7, 24:11, 26:8, 30:9, 36:8, 40:15, 46:18, 57:15, 64:24, 90:3 occur [1] - 34:7 occurred [3] - 20:10, 34:1, 63:13 occurring [1] - 54:12 Oceanside [1] - 2:5 October [4] - 20:24, 21:4, 47:4, 48:5 OF [2] - 1:1, 1:3 offense [6] - 23:25, 24:1, 39:19, 42:4, 62:3 offenses [1] - 54:16 offer [19] - 8:22, 10:4, 14:4, 18:17, 23:8, 25:12, 26:1, 27:2, 28:2, 29:4, 32:14, 34:21, 46:12, 48:2, 54:5, 54:10, 64:23, 67:4, 87:21 offered [1] - 66:7 offering [1] - 40:20 offers [1] - 3:17 officer [1] - 21:9 often [1] - 43:2 Old [1] - 2:1 Oliveras [1] - 2:23 OLIVERAS [3] - 2:4, 2:23, 3:1 omission [1] - 55:6 once [1] - 3:21 One [1] - 1:22 one [24] - 13:18, 19:14, 21:9, 30:8, 32:6, 33:14, 33:23, 35:1, 35:3, 35:19, 36:12, 39:4, 41:10, 47:14, 47:16, 57:15, 64:18, 69:14, 73:2, 73:21, 75:16, 79:16, 85:5, 85:24 ones [1] - 21:13 ongoing [1] - 52:2 opening [1] - 76:14 operation [3] - 51:22, 52:3 opinion [6] - 39:18, | 42:2, 42:7, 42:11, 42:20, 62:9 opportunity [3] - 3:18, 26:13, 29:22 opposed [1] - 49:22 order [2] - 53:22, 59:8 orders [1] - 19:10 original [3] - 11:6, 11:8, 35:1 originally [1] - 9:24 originals [1] - 12:23 otherwise [2] - 24:19, 27:3 ought [2] - 62:1, 63:19 outside [3] - 38:1, 38:5, 38:6 overcome [1] - 4:19 overhead [1] - 37:6 Owen [2] - 71:3, 72:24 own [1] - 85:8 owner [2] - 51:1, 51:11 owners [1] - 51:13 ownership [4] - 16:13, 53:7, 84:24, 85:14 | 39:20, 42:10, 42:24, 43:2, 46:22, 51:4, 51:25, 61:12, 63:18, 63:19 participant [1] - 69:19 particular [20] - 15:9, 19:3, 19:18, 21:17, 23:15, 29:6, 50:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:11, 58:1, 58:17, 65:17, 65:22, 78:11, 80:23, 82:23, 83:6, 83:8, 88:10 past [1] - 4:22 pause [2] - 73:4, 85:18 pay [3] - 34:13, 62:20, 63:12 payment [1] - 31:21 payments [2] - 9:17, 30:19 Peca [5] - 31:17, 61:4, 65:12, 68:17, 89:19 Peca's [3] - 17:25, 32:2, 68:18 people [2] - 65:4, 77:25 perceive [1] - 24:3 percent [16] - 14:15, 16:2, 44:19, 44:25, 45:7, 45:12, 50:15, 53:12, 60:17, 61:20, 62:18, 62:21, 62:24 percentage [2] - 15:2, 59:9 percentages [3] - 15:3, 16:11, 16:12 permits [1] - 24:8 Pers [1] - 15:18 personal [2] - 29:16, 83:25 perspective [2] - 54:6, 54:18 ph [1] - 80:19 Palms [24] - 27:23, 27:25, 29:2, 30:20, 31:21, 32:10, 67:14, 67:23, 68:3, 68:5, 68:6, 68:10, 68:15, 68:18, 69:1, 69:3, 71:22, 72:4, 72:5, 72:10, 72:15, 72:17 paper [1] - 5:20 paragraph [1] - 44:23 paraphrasing [1] - 62:14 parcel [2] - 23:18, 24:7 part [18] - 12:9, 20:9, 23:17, 24:7, 26:4, 26:6, 38:16, 38:19, PK [3] - 8:17, 10:1, 33:5 PK-SEC [2] - 10:1, 33:5 place [2] - 44:13, 80:3 places [2] - 73:25, 75:24 planning [1] - 5:17 player [8] - 24:5, 30:1, 49:14, 50:2, 54:14, 54:18, 55:6, 58:1 players [10] - 13:18, 23:5, 57:17, 57:21, 61:10, 61:21, 79:25, 80:22, 81:20, 83:9 Plaza [2] - 1:16, 2:7 point [15] - 11:11, 12:17, 30:17, 40:24, 55:2, 61:1, 61:4, 62:24, 63:2, 70:8, 85:7, 85:20, 88:12, 89:6 pointing [1] - 55:4 poor [1] - 86:12 portfolio [1] - 60:21 portion [3] - 40:16, 48:18, 88:25 portions [1] - 89:1 position [5] - 61:18, 61:19, 65:25, 71:10, 71:13 possibility [2] - 87:11, 87:15 possible [1] - 20:21 possibly [1] - 87:18 potential [1] - 60:3 preceding [1] - 19:1 prejudicial [1] - 29:21 preparation [2] - 39:3, 89:21 prepare [4] - 37:19, 38:5, 66:21, 83:23 prepared [4] - 4:13, 41:4, 66:19, 66:22 presence [1] - 49:2 present [9] - 3:5, 3:18, 6:2, 43:17, 47:3, 47:5, 48:4, 49:10, 60:7 presentation [1] - 60:7 presumably [2] - 21:13, 59:25 pretty [1] - 80:20 previously [4] - 19:19, 40:10, 64:13, 66:6 privy [3] - 45:24, 46:2, 46:5 proceed [3] - 3:23, 4:13, 4:24 |
|--|--|--|--|

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <p>proceeding [8] - 3:7, 12:5, 18:22, 21:18, 37:13, 46:19, 62:11</p> <p>proceedings [5] - 73:4, 85:18, 88:6, 88:14, 90:11</p> <p>proceeds [3] - 58:9, 58:10, 58:24</p> <p>produce [1] - 47:15</p> <p>produced [24] - 11:15, 11:19, 12:1, 12:4, 12:6, 12:9, 12:12, 12:19, 12:24, 15:5, 15:6, 20:4, 20:9, 20:12, 20:16, 21:1, 21:3, 33:3, 35:18, 35:24, 47:15, 47:22, 47:25, 87:20</p> <p>producing [1] - 20:16</p> <p>production [4] - 18:9, 18:10, 36:4, 36:5</p> <p>productive [2] - 55:15, 64:17</p> <p>project [6] - 44:20, 54:21, 72:2, 72:3, 76:24</p> <p>projector [1] - 37:6</p> <p>pronounce [3] - 77:1, 78:6, 80:18</p> <p>proof [7] - 46:12, 48:2, 54:5, 54:10, 57:15, 57:25, 64:3</p> <p>Properties [2] - 23:17, 24:6</p> <p>properties [8] - 16:14, 46:21, 54:23, 54:25, 60:12, 62:20, 63:8, 82:16</p> <p>Properties' [1] - 23:15</p> <p>property [23] - 3:19, 7:18, 7:20, 9:19, 23:24, 26:5, 26:9, 26:19, 26:24, 27:7, 27:12, 39:19, 42:3, 51:1, 51:6, 51:11, 57:3, 58:8, 58:25, 59:15, 62:2, 78:19, 78:23</p> <p>prosecution [1] - 23:14</p> <p>prove [1] - 55:16</p> <p>provide [8] - 6:8, 18:22, 19:7, 19:11, 40:2, 41:15, 68:8, 89:11</p> <p>provided [26] - 9:24, 9:25, 11:23, 18:25, 19:19, 20:6, 20:21, 21:5, 21:14, 21:15, 21:17, 22:9, 29:7,</p> | <p>31:8, 38:12, 38:15, 38:21, 38:23, 39:20, 39:23, 39:24, 40:10, 66:6, 88:9, 88:17</p> <p>Providence [1] - 26:5</p> <p>providing [1] - 21:13</p> <p>purchase [2] - 59:14, 63:6</p> <p>purchases [2] - 58:12, 58:25</p> <p>purported [1] - 40:14</p> <p>purports [1] - 23:12</p> <p>purpose [4] - 10:14, 56:6, 87:22, 89:6</p> <p>purposes [23] - 16:16, 22:3, 25:20, 42:13, 49:15, 51:6, 53:1, 53:6, 53:11, 53:18, 57:8, 58:7, 60:1, 60:9, 60:22, 64:15, 65:19, 65:20, 71:8, 71:12, 72:1, 88:15, 89:16</p> <p>pursuant [2] - 18:23, 74:16</p> <p>put [16] - 20:25, 25:9, 35:16, 38:7, 55:7, 57:21, 64:8, 74:10, 80:1, 80:2, 80:11, 85:4, 85:11, 86:12, 87:18, 88:3</p> <p>putting [1] - 59:3</p> | <p>reads [1] - 48:23</p> <p>really [1] - 90:2</p> <p>reargue [1] - 65:21</p> <p>reason [3] - 11:25, 25:20, 88:2</p> <p>receive [6] - 3:8, 3:10, 18:4, 18:7, 21:4, 26:25</p> <p>received [11] - 11:1, 12:11, 13:20, 25:25, 26:2, 28:15, 28:17, 33:7, 76:4, 76:5, 88:12</p> <p>recently [1] - 20:20</p> <p>recess [1] - 36:18</p> <p>recipient [1] - 25:24</p> <p>recite [1] - 63:21</p> <p>recognize [16] - 8:7, 8:8, 9:13, 9:15, 17:17, 17:20, 26:22, 27:18, 28:11, 28:13, 32:24, 33:11, 43:21, 45:20, 45:21, 47:3</p> <p>recognizes [2] - 47:22, 59:11</p> <p>recollection [4] - 41:23, 44:24, 45:3, 49:21</p> <p>recommended [1] - 29:18</p> <p>record [26] - 2:16, 5:10, 12:2, 12:18, 15:4, 17:25, 21:22, 26:10, 29:25, 42:14, 44:9, 46:23, 49:20, 55:5, 55:16, 56:10, 57:25, 61:4, 63:15, 64:1, 64:4, 69:1, 76:3, 84:11, 89:16</p> <p>records [38] - 6:24, 7:1, 7:4, 7:15, 8:16, 14:13, 14:14, 14:17, 14:21, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:4, 15:6, 15:10, 15:12, 15:23, 16:1, 18:12, 21:6, 23:2, 23:3, 26:23, 32:9, 34:15, 34:18, 39:14, 43:11, 43:12, 43:16, 47:18, 67:21, 68:8, 68:14, 68:20, 72:23</p> <p>RECROSS [2] - 86:2, 91:15</p> <p>RECROSS-</p> <p>EXAMINATION [2] - 86:2, 91:15</p> <p>redacted [1] - 40:16</p> <p>redirect [2] - 85:21, 85:22</p> | <p>refer [3] - 8:2, 40:19, 48:25</p> <p>reference [19] - 14:15, 15:8, 15:17, 29:17, 37:22, 38:10, 43:3, 52:21, 53:4, 60:5, 60:20, 61:3, 67:4, 68:12, 68:16, 72:20, 72:21, 75:11, 81:9</p> <p>referred [1] - 7:10</p> <p>referring [2] - 6:1, 14:17</p> <p>reflect [6] - 14:14, 14:25, 15:2, 16:1, 50:22, 51:9</p> <p>reflected [4] - 9:7, 21:17, 56:3, 57:5</p> <p>reflecting [1] - 66:25</p> <p>reflects [4] - 16:6, 39:13, 49:25, 50:19</p> <p>refresh [2] - 41:22, 44:23</p> <p>refused [1] - 52:6</p> <p>regard [13] - 6:25, 7:13, 9:20, 16:18, 18:6, 25:13, 30:17, 31:17, 31:21, 32:2, 40:25, 65:11, 65:13</p> <p>regarding [12] - 3:13, 9:16, 16:20, 29:23, 30:3, 41:2, 46:21, 47:17, 49:13, 55:7, 68:19, 72:18</p> <p>regards [2] - 27:9, 28:22</p> <p>related [5] - 30:12, 30:13, 42:3, 52:20, 72:5</p> <p>relates [22] - 10:8, 10:11, 10:14, 10:15, 11:8, 12:13, 14:24, 16:2, 16:6, 18:21, 26:9, 27:7, 42:1, 46:7, 48:9, 53:17, 53:19, 53:21, 54:23, 56:2, 66:18, 88:4</p> <p>relating [1] - 69:20</p> <p>relationship [2] - 29:17, 54:16</p> <p>relevance [3] - 52:8, 54:6, 59:19</p> <p>relevancy [1] - 62:6</p> <p>relevant [8] - 46:20, 54:8, 58:7, 58:25, 63:25, 71:11, 71:12, 71:25</p> <p>relied [1] - 41:25</p> <p>rely [3] - 20:20, 43:2</p> <p>relying [1] - 46:24</p> <p>rendering [1] - 42:20</p> |
| | | <p>renewed [1] - 19:6</p> <p>repeat [3] - 18:20, 45:10, 89:25</p> <p>repeated [1] - 19:10</p> <p>rephrase [1] - 75:8</p> <p>replace [1] - 25:13</p> <p>replaced [1] - 35:1</p> <p>report [2] - 44:9, 87:23</p> <p>Reporter [1] - 2:7</p> <p>reports [3] - 47:14, 47:16, 75:14</p> <p>represent [1] - 21:8</p> <p>representation [9] - 12:8, 12:19, 19:16, 21:12, 21:16, 21:19, 22:6, 29:8, 89:6</p> <p>represented [1] - 27:10</p> <p>represents [3] - 16:10, 16:11</p> <p>reproduce [1] - 20:14</p> <p>reproduced [2] - 20:16, 20:19</p> <p>request [5] - 11:3, 11:9, 11:13, 12:25, 35:17</p> <p>requested [1] - 48:23</p> <p>reserve [3] - 3:15, 24:13, 30:15</p> <p>reserved [4] - 6:18, 25:5, 26:11, 33:19</p> <p>reserving [8] - 3:12, 24:18, 26:7, 26:14, 27:6, 30:8, 30:14, 30:16</p> <p>resolve [2] - 11:10, 88:13</p> <p>resolving [1] - 36:7</p> <p>respect [8] - 3:11, 3:19, 54:19, 54:20, 54:21, 58:17, 61:7</p> <p>respectfully [1] - 24:7</p> <p>responded [1] - 61:5</p> <p>response [4] - 3:10, 11:15, 42:14, 58:2</p> <p>rest [2] - 4:3, 86:19</p> <p>restricted [1] - 4:20</p> <p>rests [2] - 4:15, 86:21</p> <p>result [1] - 48:25</p> <p>retrieved [1] - 8:20</p> <p>retry [1] - 65:14</p> <p>return [6] - 6:15, 50:15, 60:17, 61:20, 62:18, 62:24</p> <p>returning [2] - 18:2, 52:12</p> <p>reveals [1] - 35:3</p> <p>review [12] - 5:19, 7:14, 14:25, 29:11, 49:20, 51:7, 69:4,</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">10</p> |

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| <p>74:25, 83:17, 88:7, 88:8, 89:2</p> <p>reviewed [5] - 14:13, 14:21, 15:10, 23:2</p> <p>reviewing [1] - 14:24</p> <p>Richard [1] - 3:2</p> <p>RICHARD [1] - 1:24</p> <p>rise [2] - 2:11, 36:20</p> <p>RMR [1] - 2:7</p> <p>Road [1] - 2:1</p> <p>Robert [6] - 69:11, 69:14, 69:16, 71:1, 72:25, 82:3</p> <p>role [3] - 78:2, 78:15, 82:12</p> <p>Romanowski [2] - 48:3, 49:1</p> <p>RONALD [1] - 2:7</p> <p>ronald_tolkin@nyed .uscourts.gov [1] - 2:9</p> <p>RPR [1] - 2:7</p> <p>Rucchin [3] - 71:3, 72:25, 82:11</p> <p>Rucchin's [1] - 71:4</p> <p>Rule [9] - 18:22, 19:1, 19:11, 19:15, 19:17, 19:20, 20:10, 21:4, 38:13</p> <p>rule [1] - 18:23</p> <p>ruling [3] - 17:12, 32:17, 64:22</p> <p>run [2] - 18:2, 52:4</p> <p>running [1] - 69:14</p> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <p>San [34] - 44:20, 44:25, 45:8, 45:13, 49:16, 49:19, 51:2, 51:6, 51:11, 51:21, 52:2, 52:13, 52:21, 53:2, 53:7, 53:12, 53:19, 53:22, 54:15, 56:4, 56:6, 56:7, 57:22, 60:11, 61:16, 62:1, 63:7, 63:17, 77:13, 78:3, 81:3, 82:16, 83:9, 85:1</p> <p>SARITHA [1] - 1:19</p> <p>sat [1] - 62:13</p> <p>save [1] - 47:24</p> <p>saw [1] - 79:24</p> <p>schedule [5] - 39:13, 83:22, 83:23</p> <p>scheme [6] - 61:12, 63:18, 65:17, 65:22, 66:3, 69:19</p> <p>schemes [4] - 23:18, 23:20, 59:24, 65:18</p> | <p>Schwab [2] - 8:9, 18:1</p> <p>Scott [2] - 48:3, 49:1</p> <p>screen [2] - 5:16, 9:7</p> <p>search [2] - 8:16, 37:3</p> <p>seated [2] - 2:12, 36:21</p> <p>SEC [20] - 9:22, 9:23, 9:24, 10:1, 11:16, 11:18, 11:20, 12:1, 12:5, 12:6, 12:10, 17:25, 18:8, 18:9, 33:3, 33:5, 33:8, 33:10, 35:1, 35:4</p> <p>second [9] - 6:25, 10:15, 10:19, 29:11, 32:6, 51:13, 74:6, 84:17, 85:16</p> <p>see [23] - 11:12, 12:23, 14:11, 15:19, 21:25, 22:4, 30:11, 34:24, 39:12, 40:17, 40:22, 46:22, 48:12, 52:14, 52:23, 63:2, 67:16, 67:24, 69:24, 72:24, 84:15, 87:11</p> <p>seeing [3] - 35:15, 41:22, 58:11</p> <p>seek [2] - 62:2, 64:18</p> <p>seeking [2] - 54:12, 54:17</p> <p>seize [1] - 23:25</p> <p>seized [1] - 24:12</p> <p>seizure [1] - 37:4</p> <p>seller [2] - 7:18, 7:19</p> <p>sense [3] - 3:24, 56:5, 73:22</p> <p>sent [5] - 20:23, 40:4, 53:17, 58:6, 68:14</p> <p>sentencing [2] - 59:25, 65:20</p> <p>separate [3] - 38:13, 59:3, 59:23</p> <p>series [3] - 10:2, 79:25, 84:9</p> <p>served [1] - 23:13</p> <p>set [4] - 23:12, 23:18, 68:9, 86:5</p> <p>Settlement [7] - 23:21, 42:18, 43:4, 54:20, 70:15, 72:3, 72:9</p> <p>seven [4] - 77:21, 77:25, 80:21, 82:20</p> <p>short [2] - 36:16, 66:14</p> <p>shot [1] - 41:8</p> <p>show [6] - 22:9, 34:18, 64:3, 64:17, 80:14, 80:15</p> <p>showed [2] - 23:4, 44:5</p> <p>showing [4] - 20:2, 68:14, 72:8, 83:15</p> <p>shows [4] - 13:7, 13:8, 32:12, 63:9</p> <p>sic [1] - 53:4</p> <p>signatory [2] - 75:19, 76:18</p> <p>signature [3] - 10:21, 11:8, 11:23</p> <p>signed [5] - 10:20, 74:19, 74:23, 74:24, 80:2</p> <p>similarly [1] - 57:5</p> <p>simply [8] - 15:17, 25:25, 41:13, 55:18, 61:14, 63:2, 66:6, 87:23</p> <p>simultaneously [1] - 5:19</p> <p>sit [2] - 53:25, 84:22</p> <p>six [4] - 77:21, 77:25, 80:21, 82:19</p> <p>sixth [2] - 14:9, 51:19</p> <p>slated [1] - 62:17</p> <p>smooth [1] - 36:25</p> <p>soliciting [2] - 80:10, 81:22</p> <p>someone [1] - 53:8</p> <p>soon [1] - 23:6</p> <p>sorry [11] - 13:11, 17:10, 24:20, 34:25, 39:1, 44:2, 52:16, 74:11, 75:6, 79:11, 84:7</p> <p>sort [2] - 24:3, 61:12</p> <p>sorting [1] - 55:15</p> <p>sought [2] - 39:19, 42:3</p> <p>source [7] - 37:18, 45:11, 67:19, 68:1, 68:13, 68:17, 69:9</p> <p>sources [1] - 42:1</p> <p>Spanish [1] - 10:19</p> <p>speaking [2] - 66:11, 67:22</p> <p>special [3] - 59:20, 63:3, 65:23</p> <p>specific [6] - 19:14, 19:17, 21:12, 31:1, 51:7</p> <p>specifically [14] - 6:23, 6:25, 7:16, 19:8, 38:11, 40:12, 40:17, 46:4, 49:19, 54:14, 54:15, 62:11, 65:11, 65:12</p> <p>spell [1] - 5:9</p> <p>spelling [1] - 80:19</p> <p>spoken [1] - 87:5</p> <p>Square [1] - 1:22</p> <p>stamp [4] - 19:24, 20:2, 29:5, 35:11</p> <p>stamped [1] - 22:1</p> <p>stamping [1] - 22:8</p> <p>stamps [2] - 21:23, 21:24</p> <p>stand [3] - 5:2, 44:6, 89:22</p> <p>standard [1] - 60:2</p> <p>standing [9] - 18:20, 24:12, 26:4, 28:4, 28:6, 32:15, 35:7, 36:7, 36:9</p> <p>stands [1] - 74:7</p> <p>staple [1] - 40:7</p> <p>stapled [1] - 10:15</p> <p>start [2] - 3:12, 73:18</p> <p>starts [1] - 73:19</p> <p>state [6] - 2:15, 4:15, 5:9, 43:1, 50:18, 88:7</p> <p>State [1] - 41:19</p> <p>statement [5] - 27:11, 32:12, 46:5, 53:24, 64:14</p> <p>statements [9] - 32:11, 46:3, 46:19, 49:1, 64:9, 64:12, 65:4, 76:14, 83:24</p> <p>STATES [2] - 1:1, 1:3</p> <p>States [3] - 1:12, 1:16, 2:17</p> <p>stating [2] - 9:19, 25:8</p> <p>staying [1] - 70:5</p> <p>step [2] - 85:23, 86:18</p> <p>Steve [3] - 71:3, 72:24</p> <p>Stewart [1] - 32:7</p> <p>still [5] - 4:6, 4:12, 9:7, 25:17, 58:7</p> <p>Strangford [1] - 2:4</p> <p>streamline [2] - 46:17, 63:22</p> <p>striking [1] - 17:3</p> <p>strong [1] - 63:15</p> <p>Stumpel [6] - 7:17, 34:1, 45:4, 45:7, 45:14, 56:9</p> <p>subject [7] - 3:20, 27:6, 28:4, 28:6, 32:17, 36:7, 84:10</p> <p>submission [2] - 38:21, 55:3</p> <p>submit [1] - 29:24</p> <p>submitted [1] - 28:21</p> <p>subpoena [1] - 11:16</p> <p>subsequent [2] - 19:7, 20:10</p> <p>substance [2] - 33:22, 61:5</p> <p>substantiate [1] -</p> | 14:13 <p>substantiation [1] - 68:8</p> <p>sufficient [1] - 4:24</p> <p>Suffolk [1] - 1:22</p> <p>Sugar [4] - 26:19, 26:23, 27:12, 76:24</p> <p>suggest [3] - 24:7, 41:5, 47:14</p> <p>suggestion [1] - 57:24</p> <p>Suite [2] - 1:23, 2:2</p> <p>sum [1] - 33:22</p> <p>summary [2] - 24:21, 54:1</p> <p>summation [1] - 55:2</p> <p>sums [2] - 74:20, 86:9</p> <p>Superior [2] - 41:18, 88:7</p> <p>supplement [1] - 64:4</p> <p>supplied [1] - 38:17</p> <p>support [1] - 55:10</p> <p>sustained [4] - 42:6, 52:9, 69:22</p> <p>swear [1] - 5:3</p> <p>sworn [2] - 5:4, 5:8</p> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <p>tainted [1] - 59:12</p> <p>talks [1] - 31:2</p> <p>team [2] - 38:16, 38:19</p> <p>technologically [1] - 36:25</p> <p>tenth [1] - 67:13</p> <p>terms [14] - 4:5, 11:23, 21:6, 26:8, 68:21, 74:15, 76:12, 76:</p> |
|--|---|--|

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| <p>30:22, 39:18, 40:3, 41:3, 42:7, 49:10, 49:13, 49:20, 49:21, 50:1, 50:13, 50:15, 51:4, 51:7, 55:8, 55:9, 55:10, 60:18, 60:24, 61:3, 61:7, 62:14, 62:22, 64:5, 64:8, 65:12, 65:13, 66:8, 68:24, 69:4, 72:18, 84:8, 87:9, 88:6, 89:19, 89:24 text [3] - 28:22, 30:18, 30:22</p> <p>THE [118] - 2:11, 2:12, 2:13, 2:20, 2:25, 3:4, 4:4, 4:9, 4:25, 5:5, 5:9, 5:11, 5:14, 5:20, 6:6, 6:10, 8:24, 9:1, 9:4, 10:7, 10:10, 10:17, 11:21, 12:21, 14:6, 16:8, 16:13, 16:15, 16:22, 16:25, 17:3, 17:10, 17:14, 20:4, 20:14, 20:19, 21:20, 22:5, 22:13, 22:16, 24:11, 24:18, 24:21, 25:15, 25:16, 25:17, 26:7, 27:6, 28:6, 30:8, 30:21, 31:4, 31:6, 32:17, 33:12, 33:14, 33:16, 34:23, 35:5, 35:19, 36:2, 36:6, 36:13, 36:16, 36:20, 36:21, 37:5, 38:1, 41:2, 41:11, 42:9, 42:24, 46:12, 46:16, 47:6, 47:12, 47:24, 48:6, 52:9, 53:24, 54:7, 55:1, 55:14, 55:23, 57:11, 58:15, 59:2, 59:16, 63:21, 64:24, 65:3, 65:16, 66:4, 67:6, 67:9, 69:22, 71:17, 72:7, 73:3, 73:6, 73:9, 85:17, 85:21, 85:23, 86:1, 86:18, 86:22, 87:3, 87:21, 88:16, 88:19, 88:24, 89:8, 89:14, 89:21, 90:2, 90:6, 90:10</p> <p>theory [2] - 63:24, 64:10</p> <p>thinking [1] - 4:6</p> <p>third [3] - 44:23, 48:18, 51:15</p> <p>Thomas [12] - 2:22, 2:23, 74:24, 75:19,</p> | <p>76:8, 76:10, 76:22, 77:9, 79:8, 81:5, 81:8, 84:19</p> <p>thousands [1] - 75:13</p> <p>three [7] - 8:10, 8:11, 29:12, 29:15, 38:13, 82:23, 83:6</p> <p>three-page [2] - 29:12, 29:15</p> <p>throughout [1] - 22:1</p> <p>throw [1] - 54:22</p> <p>timing [1] - 3:24</p> <p>title [3] - 26:23, 27:1, 81:25</p> <p>Title [1] - 32:8</p> <p>today [6] - 3:24, 4:13, 22:1, 31:7, 63:23, 84:22</p> <p>today's [2] - 22:3, 84:8</p> <p>TOLKIN [1] - 2:7</p> <p>Tom [3] - 11:1, 15:6, 41:15</p> <p>TOMMY [1] - 1:7</p> <p>Tommy [7] - 1:8, 2:1, 45:23, 75:5, 75:6, 75:7, 76:1</p> <p>took [1] - 44:6</p> <p>top [2] - 74:1, 74:6</p> <p>total [1] - 83:2</p> <p>traceability [1] - 43:4</p> <p>traceable [2] - 42:17, 42:21</p> <p>tracking [1] - 54:3</p> <p>trading [1] - 70:3</p> <p>transaction [2] - 61:22, 61:24</p> <p>transactions [4] - 51:8, 58:9, 83:6, 83:8</p> <p>transcript [12] - 40:14, 40:21, 40:22, 40:23, 41:6, 41:16, 51:25, 66:9, 88:10, 88:17, 89:2</p> <p>transfer [4] - 27:12, 34:10, 34:19, 68:21</p> <p>transferred [2] - 53:5, 76:10</p> <p>transfers [4] - 74:12, 75:22, 76:1, 76:6</p> <p>transpired [1] - 29:19</p> <p>trial [66] - 11:19, 18:24, 19:2, 19:7, 19:12, 20:1, 20:3, 20:5, 20:6, 20:11, 20:13, 20:18, 21:1, 21:15, 21:18, 22:2, 22:10, 23:4, 23:14, 24:2, 26:6, 28:21, 28:24, 29:7, 30:9,</p> | <p>unclear [2] - 53:13, 53:15</p> <p>under [4] - 18:22, 53:4, 59:12, 64:13</p> <p>understood [1] - 90:5</p> <p>unduly [1] - 29:21</p> <p>unfocused [1] - 10:14</p> <p>unit [5] - 27:21, 27:25, 32:2, 32:8, 72:17</p> <p>UNITED [2] - 1:1, 1:3</p> <p>united [1] - 1:12</p> <p>United [2] - 1:16, 2:17</p> <p>unless [2] - 22:9, 47:13</p> <p>unnecessary [1] - 12:16</p> <p>unreasonable [1] - 12:22</p> <p>unstapled [1] - 40:8</p> <p>unsupported [1] - 30:1</p> | <p>VOIR [2] - 14:7, 91:5 12</p> <p>W</p> <p>W-A-Y-N-E [1] - 5:12</p> <p>wants [1] - 89:2</p> <p>warrant [1] - 8:16</p> <p>Wayne [34] - 4:11, 5:2, 5:11, 6:13, 6:15, 6:18, 6:25, 8:7, 9:6, 9:10, 13:7, 13:14, 13:17, 14:9, 17:8, 17:17, 22:21, 24:25, 26:18, 27:9, 31:13, 32:20, 37:9, 37:11, 44:6, 46:24, 48:9, 73:5, 73:13, 84:8, 84:22, 87:11, 87:16</p> <p>Wayne's [2] - 5:1, 10:23</p> <p>weight [2] - 59:5, 62:9</p> <p>WEINBLATT [1] - 1:22</p> <p>whatsoever [2] - 54:16, 63:18</p> <p>wherein [1] - 19:7</p> <p>whichever [1] - 64:5</p> <p>whole [2] - 55:16, 89:2</p> <p>willing [1] - 89:3</p> <p>wire [5] - 27:12, 34:10, 34:18, 59:23, 69:11</p> <p>wired [1] - 76:21</p> <p>wires [3] - 8:9, 18:1, 76:21</p> <p>wishes [3] - 40:22, 87:7, 89:1</p> <p>withdraw [1] - 21:19</p> <p>withdrawn [2] - 66:11, 71:16</p> <p>WITNESS [7] - 5:5, 5:11, 10:10, 16:13, 25:16, 38:1, 73:6</p> <p>witness [17] - 3:25, 5:4, 5:7, 12:10, 19:3, 40:25, 46:13, 46:14, 47:2, 47:9, 47:16, 48:23, 52:6, 54:1, 60:6, 64:13, 87:23</p> <p>witnesses [6] - 43:17, 46:3, 46:20, 60:24, 68:24, 80:9</p> <p>word [4] - 41:9, 67:1, 77:1, 78:6</p> <p>words [3] - 7:21, 57:14, 86:12</p> <p>world [1] - 54:2</p> <p>worth [1] - 88:8</p> <p>wound [1] - 82:5</p> <p>writing [1] - 48:21</p> <p>written [1] - 55:3</p> |
| <p>U</p> <p>U.S [2] - 1:4, 1:19</p> <p>U.S.A [1] - 2:13</p> <p>Ula [17] - 7:15, 7:17, 7:19, 7:21, 18:12, 18:13, 18:15, 23:6, 34:3, 34:5, 34:18, 60:15, 82:2, 82:21, 83:10, 83:12, 83:16</p> <p>unable [2] - 37:1, 59:13</p> <p>unauthorized [2] - 58:1, 59:8</p> <p>uncharged [1] - 66:2</p> | <p>view [6] - 11:3, 12:15, 12:18, 29:19, 54:11, 63:22</p> <p>Virtue [1] - 23:16</p> <p>virtue [5] - 10:23, 19:20, 42:22, 54:12, 59:21</p> <p>voir [2] - 14:5, 37:17</p> | | |

U.S.A. v. KENNER and CONSTANTINE

13

Y

YORK [1] - 1:1
York [6] - 1:5, 1:17,
1:24, 2:2, 2:5, 2:8
yourself [2] - 48:16,
48:19